Aptiv

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP

.

11/07/2024, 05:14 am

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Aptiv is a leading global technology and mobility company primarily serving the automotive sector. We design and manufacture vehicle components and provide electrical, electronic, and active safety technology solutions to the global automotive and commercial vehicle markets, creating the software and hardware foundation for vehicle features and functionality. We enable and deliver end-to-end smart mobility solutions, active safety, and autonomous driving technologies and provide enhanced user experience and connected services. Our Advanced Safety and User Experience segment is focused on providing the necessary software and advanced computing platforms, and our Signal and Power Solutions segment is focused on providing the requisite networking architecture required to support the integrated systems in today's complex vehicles. Together, our businesses develop the 'brain' and the 'nervous system' of increasingly complex vehicles, providing integration of the vehicle into its operating environment. We believe the automotive industry is being shaped by rapidly increasing consumer demand for new mobility solutions, and advanced technologies, including the increasing government regulation related to fuel efficiency and emissions control. We are developing key enabling technologies in the areas of vehicle charging and vehicle power distribution and control that are essential to the introduction of our customers' electrified vehicle platforms. We are also enabling the trend towards vehicle electrification with high-voltage electrification solutions that reduce CO2 emissions and increase fuel economy, helping to make the world greener. Our products will continue to advance the sustainability goals of our customers, with our systems and solutions enabling the electric, software-defined vehicles of tomorrow. These efforts will allow our customers to solve their toughest challenges. Our goal of achieving carbon neutrality by 2040 takes Aptiv's mission to make the world greener beyond the products we create. Minimizing the impact of our production on the environment while protecting the communities where we operate is essential to ensuring a viable long-term business model.
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2023

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 1 year

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 1 year

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 1 year

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

20051000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

JE00B783TY65

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

G6095L 109

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

APTV

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Serbia
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Morocco
☑ Romania
☑ Tunisia
☑ Honduras
☑ Singapore
☑ South Africa
☑ Brazil
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Estonia
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Malaysia
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovakia
☑ Thailand
☑ Indonesia
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ North Macedonia
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ United States of America

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: ☑ Yes, for all facilities	*No comment.*

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Guadalupe, Zacatecas - Plant 61, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.753551

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.505489

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Nuevo Laredo 2 - MFG, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.44579

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.499911

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Saltillo Plant 98, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.496829

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.984537

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bursa Satellite - MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.238845

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.93108

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Matamoros Deltronicos Mfg., Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.884883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.551482

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bursa - MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.174019

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.775262

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tech Center Krakow

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.998073

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.851441

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jiaxing - MFG, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.751877

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.64834

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Warren CSE Tech Ctr

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.281807

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.827262

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Conceicao dos Ouros - MFG, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.408375

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-45.788848

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Ineu Mfg., Romania

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.414665

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.823577

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wiehl-Bomig Tech Center, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.969427

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.545483

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Chennai ES - MFG, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.84782

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

79.928406

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Serbia 2 - MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.0258

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.942061

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Suzhou Manufacturing - Eng Center, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.315295

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.78798

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tijuana (PIC 11), Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.475012

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-116.9889

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Szombathely 2 MFG, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.240681

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.643858

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Viza Building (M1 Satellite)- MFG Tangier, Morocco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.718683

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.929066

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Morocco 5 MFG EDS, Morocco,

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.773876

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.929234

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Centec III - CS Mfg - Saltillo, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.578505

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.907054

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Castelo Branco Mfg, Portugal

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.811288

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-7.518948

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gothenburg Tech Center - Molndalsvagen

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.687766

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.996761

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Morocco 4 MFG, Morocco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.828842

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.460782

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Guadalupe III Mfg., Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.664902

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.176967

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Serbia MFG (Neobus)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.283298

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.800354

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Meoqui Plant 58, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.277385

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.485128

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tech Center India, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.97203

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.718465

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Durango 1 - MFG, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.043804

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.608609

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Reynosa Manufacturing, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.046257

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.358216

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Victoria 2 - MFG, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.725515

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.083284

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Epernon - MFG, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.599642

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

1.687068

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Nuevo Laredo 1 Plant 81, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.481383

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.546746

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Morocco 1, Morocco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.736202

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.863051

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Cochin - MFG 2, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

9.894444

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

76.430707

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Morocco 2, Morocco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.718683

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.929066

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Yancheng - MFG 1 (KUM), China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.364174

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.254796

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Indiana Westfield Lab - ASUX Eng

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.03944

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.152362

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Yijiang Manufacturing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.261008

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

118.355915

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tangier MFG EDS (M7), Morocco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.720672

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.906986

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ES Macedonia, Macedonia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.985659

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.622873

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

China Tech Center, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.323974

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.605949

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Espirito Santo do Pinhal 2 - MFG, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.223338

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.79056

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Los Mochis Plant 59, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.77432

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-108.986624

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Torino - MFG, Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.13274

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.670195

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wuhan MFG, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.461326

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.072071

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 46

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Baicheng Government Mfg., China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.586804

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

122.827038

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Nuremberg, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.488061

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.103616

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Brookhaven Plant 23 & 26 - MFG CS

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.600172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.4283

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Los Mochis Satellite - MFG, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.468258

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-108.10717

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Yantai Mfg., China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.5205

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.22057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gdansk - MFG, Polonia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

54.367693

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

18.48387

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tatabanya - MFG, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.584906

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

18.360014

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 53

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Yokohama - Office, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.467297

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.626545

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Morocco 3, Morocco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.303977

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-6.390195

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 55

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fresnillo 2 and 3, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.197

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.860516

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 56

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Anting Yuanguo Mfg. (CS), China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.335091

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.199495

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 57

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Singapore Manufacturing - Eng Center.

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.356904

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.855883

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 58

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Serbia Satellite (Novi Sad) - EDS MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.285592

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.806975

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 59

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Chengdu, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.537129

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.19484

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 60

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pune - New MFG EDS, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.758023

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

73.787191

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 61

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Namyang - MFG (CS), South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.155725

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

126.846166

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 62

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Braga - MFG, Portugal

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.534944

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-8.436367

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 63

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Anting Tai Bo A5 and A, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.31491

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.249041

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 64

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Saltillo CS Plant 97, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.474394

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.985068

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 65

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juarez Plant 37 (RBE IX), Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.708655

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.420495

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 66

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tunisia MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.631518

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.619035

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Antaya - MFG (CS)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.355185

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.364736

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 68

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Durango 2 - MFG, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.98484

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.689979

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Linares Plant 86, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.864475

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.558307

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Warren NRR - MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.267822

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.797781

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 71

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sangbuk - MFG (KUM), South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.602455

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

129.076306

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 72

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wiehl-Marienhagen Tech Center, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.976494

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.572327

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Szombathely 1 MFG, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.246028

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.651669

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 74

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Coventry - Office

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.404891

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.465966

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 75

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Troy Offices

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.602222

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.161954

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 76

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Changchun Mfg.. China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.846792

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

125.418086

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 77

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Chongqing - MFG, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.748823

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.478612

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 78

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Castelo Branco Municipal Bldg

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.810975

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-7.519983

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 79

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Youngcheon - MFG (KUM)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.973118

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

128.938918

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 80

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Frontera MFG EDS

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.9505

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-101.461002

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 81

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Nantong - MFG (CS), China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.057102

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

32.057102

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 82

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sannicolau Mare Mfg., Romania

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.057389

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.644445

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 83

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fresnillo 1 Plant 62, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.171251

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.882602

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 84

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Grosspetersdorf Mfg., Austria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.241614

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.329224

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 85

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jingzhou MFG, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.335998

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

112.23871

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 86

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Choongiu - MFG (KUM)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.99195

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.925922

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 87

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juarez Plant 38 (RBE V), Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.724941

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.399413

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 88

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jambeiro Mfg., Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.323036

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-45.735784

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 89

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Chennai EDS MFG, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.894599

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

79.928785

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 90

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Dharuhera Mfg, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.217774

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

76.784027

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 91

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gothenburg Tech Center - Molndalsvagen, Sweden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.687766

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.996761

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 92

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Nuevo Laredo 3 - Mfg & Eng Ctr

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.444938

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.501509

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 93

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juarez Plant 32 (RBE VII, II Offices), Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.66056

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.340903

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 94

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Duseo 1 - MFG (KUM)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.668936

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

129.172721

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 95

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jelesnia Mfg., Polonia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.653409

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.3273

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 96

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tianjin - MFG, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.445904

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

117.025132

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 97

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juarez Plant 33 (RBE IV, RBE XIII), Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.743232

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.427158

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 98

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Paraisopolis - MFG, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.553834

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-45.770162

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 99

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Durango 1 Satellite, Vicente Guerrero - MFG, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.73922

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.993749

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 100

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Zacatecas 2 - Mfg, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.764968

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.48526

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 101

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bakov nad Jizerou CSC, Czech Republic

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.486347

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.943123

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 102

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

San Pedro Sula - MFG CS, Honduras

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

15.349196

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.182287

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 103

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Dublin Office, Ireland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.344518

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-6.235735

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 104

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jiang Hai MFG, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.553847

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.158073

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 105

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wuppertal Tech Ctr., Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.235764

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.158773

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 106

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kuala Terengganu Mfg., Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

5.270401

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.16416

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 107

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Vienna Plant 47 - MFG

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.257545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.697775

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 108

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pamplona Mfg.

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.80882

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.683098

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 109

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lisbon Office, Portugal

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.76801

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-9.181187

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 110

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Agoura Hills CA -ASUX Eng

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.14694

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.754417

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 111

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Neumarkt SUD Mfg., Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.261671

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.447239

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 112

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mexico Tech Center, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.749692

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.43823

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 113

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mattighofen - MFG, Austria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.095581

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.15778

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 114

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Arad - Eng, Romania

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.216726

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.285255

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 115

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Osberghausen Mfg., Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.985545

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.482011

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 116

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juarez Plant 39 (RBE I) CS, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.713114

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.396699

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 117

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Parral I Plant 50, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.925648

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.696422

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.

Row 118

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tangiers Lasry Mfg, Morroco

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.720672

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.906986

(1.8.1.4) Comment

No comment.
[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply
☑ Upstream value chain
☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Mapping Aptiv's sub-suppliers is a foundational requirement for driving supply chain resilience, compliance, and sustainability. Our supply chain management team identifies the most critical part number categories, such as cables, connectors, copper, resin, PCBs, and semiconductors. The "tiers" identified for mapping represent the most critical steps in each category's value chain. The mapping scope includes approximately 69,000 unique parts sourced from over 2,000 suppliers.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	Select from: ☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain	*Select all that apply* ☑ Upstream value chain

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Aligned with our financial planning and footprint calculation

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This is aligned with our financial planning process: Forecast revenue, adjusted operating income, and cash flow. It also takes into account the footprint calculation.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

6

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:
☑ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

20

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This is aligned with our financial planning process: Revenue forecast of all product lines out 6 years and beyond 6 years for key growth businesses. It also takes into account the footprint calculation.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

☑ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Chronic physical

☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events

Policy

☑ Changes to national legislation

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

☑ Stigmatization of sector

Technology

☑ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources

Liability

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Regulators

☑ Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

All risks and opportunities (including climate-related) are identified and assessed by regional Teams using the ISO14001 certified Risk Priority Number (RPN) System. The majority of risks are identified using three attributes, (i) magnitude of loss (value), (ii) likelihood of occurrence, and (iii) timeframe/urgency. Overall, we have 100 risks cataloged for which mitigation activities are in place. At the group level, a top-down approach is also applied, whereby a team consisting of internal and external experts develop a set of indicators and standards, that are applied for the yearly assessments on the site level, hence ensuring consistency. An example of this type of internal standard would be the Environmental Aspect Evaluation which is based on ISO standards. The process assesses the frequency of occurrence of the risk and opportunities in 10 ranks from 1 to 10 depending on the following criteria (Frequent - Occurs almost always (85% of time), Frequent - Occurs almost always (65% - 85% of time), Routine (or likely) Occurs (45 – 65% of time), Occasional Occurs (25-35% of time), Occasional Occurs (15 – 25% of time), Occasional Occurs (10-15% of time), Infrequent (5-10% of time), "Infrequent (

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Local

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Databases

☑ Maplecroft Global Water Security Risk Index

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Employees

☑ Local communities

☑ Regulators

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

The Water Stress Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.

Row 3

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☑ EcoVadis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Pollution incident

Chronic physical

☑ Increased ecosystem vulnerability

☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events

☑ Water stress

Policy

☑ Poor enforcement of environmental regulation

Market

☑ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material

Liability

☑ Exposure to litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

The EcoVadis sustainability intelligence suite spans the full spectrum of sustainability risk and performance management with broad-scale supply chain risk screening and mapping, reliable scorecards with actionable ratings, and complete audit and improvement management.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Interconnections are assessed through a double materiality assessment, which evaluates how sustainability issues affect the company financially (outside-in) and how the company impacts the environment (inside-out). For example, we assess how Aptiv can be an enabler for low-carbon products and services while also recognizing that Aptiv's manufacturing sites can negatively impact climate change due to high energy consumption, contributing to increased GHG emissions and a larger carbon footprint. Additionally, although Aptiv's operations are not water-intensive, we acknowledge that 45% of our sites are located in water-scarce areas. As a preventive measure, we have set more aggressive water reduction targets for the entire group.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Aptiv has engaged with the Verisk Maplecroft tool in order to analyse and understand possible risks impacting direct operations. The tool assesses various categories of risks, including natural disaster frequency and water stress. Each indicator is then scored on a 0 to 10 or 0 to 100 scale, where higher scores indicate a higher risk presence. The analysis is performed on a global scale.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

(2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

Verisk_Maplecroft_water-stress-copy_2023-06-25_22_47_04.757944+00_00.xlsx
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ Absolute decrease

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

500000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Aptiv defines as substantive financial impacts, as those risks that could significantly and negatively impact the revenue and financial loss recovery. The climate stability/ instability as well as the geopolitical risks of the location are therefore an inherent factor of our risk assessment. Aptiv assesses substantive financial or strategic impact on our business not on the group but on site level, since different locations could face different types of risks. Some examples are: · Sites that are more likely to be close and around wildfires due to higher temperatures like on the west coast of the US (Agoura Hills) and North of Mexico (Tijuana) · Sites that are at water risk --scarcity areas in the north of Mexico (Tijuana, Ciudad Juarez, Saltillo, and Nuevo Laredo), north of Morocco (Tangier) and Asia (China and India) · Sites that are more likely to face increase in temperatures like in Europe · Sites that are more likely to see unexpected winter storms in the North of Mexico · Sites that are more likely to face increase in more water storms and flooding in central America, south America and Asia. · Sites where climate change policy regulations and policies don't promote the energy transition from fossil fuels to renewable energies e.g. Mexico and China · Sites that are energy intensive due to the nature of the manufacturing processes. The risk management system is based on a scale going from 1 – 5, with the latter being the highest, hence implying the highest risks. Due to site differences, the numbers may vary, overall, we can nevertheless say that we consider as substantive financial impact those risks which would result in a loss of 500,000 within the highest risk categories

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

500000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Similarly, as for risks, Aptiv defines as substantive effects, those opportunities, related to environmental issues, that would bring an increase in revenue of 500,00 if the opportunity was realized.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

All wastewater discharges, direct and indirect, (sanitary, process, and storm-water) must comply with all local, state or national regulations and/or permit requirements. In the absence of local, state, national or permit discharge limits the facility must meet the following Aptiv target values:-Process Wastewater Discharge Quality Target Values: Oil and Grease / 20 mg/l/ grab / annually, pH / 6-9 units / grab / annuallyFecal Coliform / 10001 cfu/100ml/grab / annually, Total Suspended Solids/70 mg/l/composite / annually,BOD (5-day) or CBOD (5 day)/50 mg/l/composite / annually, Chemical Oxygen Demand / 800 mg/l/composite / annually, Total Phosphorus/ 10 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Ammonia Nitrogen/30 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Phenols/2(mg/l)/composite / annually, Copper (total)/1 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Cyanide (amenable)0.3 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Lead (total)/0.005 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Nickel (total)/1 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Chromium (total)/1 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Zinc (total)/2 (mg/)/ composite / annually.-Sanitary Wastewater Discharge Quality Target Values - Oil and Grease / 20 (mg/l)/ grab / annually,pH / 6-9 units / grab / annually, Fecal Coliform / 10001 cfu/100ml/grab / annually,Total Suspended Solids/70 (mg/l)/composite / annually,BOD (5-day) or CBOD (5 day)/50 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Chemical Oxygen Demand / 800 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Total Phosphorus/ 10 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Ammonia Nitrogen/30 (mg/l)
[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☑ Other nutrients and oxygen demanding pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

COD / BOD - Higher BOD/COD levels mean a greater amount of oxidizable organic material in the sample, which will reduce dissolved oxygen (DO) levels. Value chain

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

30 Aptiv's manufacturing sites operate WWTP to have a compliance beyoind regulatory requirements, these WWTP include technologies like Activated Sludge Process (ASP), Roatating Biological Contactors, Submerged Fixed Bed Biofil Reactor, Physical Chemical Treatment, Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors and Sequencing Batch Reactor. Our procedure HOGP_5-3_SE_18_EN - Water & Watewater Mangement requires that all sites comply with all local, state or national regulations and/or permit requirements. All process wastewater facilities must be compatible with the contaminants in the wastewater. In the absence of local, state, national or permit discharge limits for the contaminants listed, the facility must meet the Aptiv target values for those individual contaminants where they are discharged directly to a surface water. Analysis for specific contaminants shall be performed in accordance with local, state, national or permit requirements. In the absence of legal requirements for analysis of specific contaminants, analysis shall be performed based on the sampling requirements specified in our procedure. Aptiv utilizes recycled water for operational and landscaping purposes. An example of this practice includes the connection to the "Purple Line" in three of our sites in Juarez, Mexico, for the purpose of utilizing treated, non-potable water for landscape purposes

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☑ Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Grease and Oil generated in kitchens of our facilities. If these compounds are not removed before discharge of treated wastewater, oil and grease can interfere with biological life in surface waters and create unsightly films.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Grease Trap / Oil separation tank for kitchens/ Oil/Water seperator in air compressor syste.
[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(3.1.3) Please explain

Although Aptiv's operations and those of its suppliers are not water-intensive, suppliers are committed to conserving natural resources and recycling materials throughout every stage of the product life cycle. Upon request, suppliers will provide Aptiv with data to demonstrate the reduction of environmental impact, including metrics on water quality and consumption.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(3.1.3) Please explain

Increasing the use of recycled materials, bio-based materials, and low-carbon components is a priority for Aptiv to achieve its carbon-neutral roadmap. Currently, the risks associated with the use of plastics are primarily focused on their impact on climate change.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Portugal
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ North Macedonia
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Serbia
☑ Sweden
☑ Morocco
☑ Romania
☑ Tunisia
☑ Honduras
☑ Malaysia
☑ Republic of Moldova
☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

The global automotive industry is increasing the demand of sustainability materials with aim to reduce their carbon footprint; 4 of our top 10 customers are requesting carbon footprint declarations and life cycle assessments as part of their process request for quotation (RFQ). These customers are mainly located in Europe (Volvo, BMW, Stellantis and Mercedes-Benz). In addition some of the RFQ agreements requires that Aptiv provides low carbon or recycling content in its products.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Between 10% to 25% increase in the cost of wiring harness cable depending on the customer and region of business.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

481224000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

3889894000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*In fact, losing the customers requesting us to provide low carbon or recycling content in our products would be 3889billion USD Dollars/Year. This is because these customers represent 19.4% of the total annual revenue for Aptiv in 2023, which was 21,051 billion. 19.4% of 20,051 billion is 3,889 billion dollars/year lost. • Customer 1 revenue: 2.4%, 2.4%*20,051B 481,224,000 • Customer 2 revenue: 2.8%, 2.8%*20,051B 561,428,000 • Customer 3 revenue: 5.1%, 5.1%*20,051B 1,022,601,000 • Customer 4 revenue: 9.10%, 9.10%*20,051B 1,824,641,000 Losing only the lowest revenue customer, would result in a financial effect figure of 481,224,000, while loosing all the 4 customers, would result in a loss of 3,889 billion per year.*

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

838090000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*We are applying an average additional fee of 25% to all our direct spending on materials to determine the cost of sourcing 100% low-carbon components. Cable value stream cost: 1.76B. Additional cost is 441M, because of the 25% fee application. (1.76B * 25% 441,000,000). New cost of cable value stream is therefore 2.20B (1.76B 441M) Customers requesting us to increase the usage of recycled copper by 2025 represents 19% of our revenue, therefore the cost to respond would be: 2.02B*19% 419M. 419M/year * 2 years (2024-2025) 838,090,000.*

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are actively engaging with our suppliers to assess market capabilities for transitioning to low-carbon materials. This involves understanding current costs, future trends, and advancements in research and development of new materials and technologies aimed at reducing the carbon footprint of our products. We are currently in the process of collecting more accurate data from our suppliers to refine our Scope 3 emissions baseline and establish more detailed targets in collaboration with them

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Mexico

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Rio Grande

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Water stress and drought pose current operational risks in Mexico, where water supply can be suspended on any given day, leading to operational shutdowns. Aptiv is taking preventive measures by investing in water tanks to ensure a continuous water supply and prevent financial losses due to water shortages at its sites.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

50% of north Americas sites could have an impact, mostly in the north region of Mexico. Potential temporary suspension of operations due to insufficient potable water supply at our operational sites

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

19235616.44

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

48089041.1

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Reported revenue for North America in 10-K Report 7,021,000,000 divided by 365 days to know 1 day revenue (19,235,616.44), we are considering that 50% of the sites in north America could suffer water shortages and the shortages can last between 2 and 5 days,

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Secure alternative water supply

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

2700000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Each water tank with a cost of 100,000 USD multiplied by 27 locations in Mexico in high-risk water areas

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Construction of an additional water tank to secure water supply during water shortages

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Brazil
☑ Czechia
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Romania
☑ Honduras
☑ Republic of Moldova
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Austria
☑ Malaysia
☑ Portugal
☑ Indonesia
☑ North Macedonia
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Stricter environmental regulations, carbon taxes and customer requirements can increase operational costs and require significant changes in the manufacturing processes resulting in higher operating costs

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased compliance costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

72,000 - 148,000 Euros per year from 2026 - 2034 - According to the new regulation Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) adopted by the European Union (EU) holds importing companies accountable to meet EU carbon emission standards and pay penalties up to EUR 50 per tonne of emissions not reported during the transitional period and each year

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

72000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

148000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Total embedded emissions (ton of CO2) multiplied by cost of carbon credits per country of origin. The range disclosed is based on fluctuations in carbon pricing models from non-EU countries, as well as assumptions associated with where the purchase of carbon certificates occurs (e.g., purchased in country of origin vs. purchased in the EU).

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are still assessing the cost impact of responding to the risk, which includes engaging with suppliers, and pushing for localization (i.e., sourcing from within the EU rather than outside of the EU) of supply chain to minimize the cost of carbon credits.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

1. Training through an external consultant workshop on CBAM alignment and preparedness across the organization, providing an overview of the CBAM regulation and data requirements to improve understanding of compliance obligations. 2. Estimation of embedded emission for CBAM products being imported into Europe. 3. Estimate potential financial impact during transitional and definitive phases. 4. CBAM Transitional Period Quarterly Reports 5. Deploy an strategy to collect data with our supply chain base 6. Procurement of CBAM certificates

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

☑ Transition to increasing renewable content

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Czechia
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Malaysia
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Singapore
☑ North Macedonia
☑ Brazil
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Ireland
☑ Morocco
☑ Romania
☑ Tunisia
☑ Honduras
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Republic of Moldova
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Latest IPCC reports released during 2022 further enhance the risk that world is moving towards a near 3-degree global warming by end of century, with the increased risk that the Paris Agreement targets are not met. Based on this scenario it is likely to see an increase in speed and scope of new customers requirements and

policies Therefore is very likely to experience changes in customer requirements related to climate change, renewable energy and decarbonization, Also this scenario increase energy prices and create higher consumer awareness of energy consumption and energy prices.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased capital expenditures

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

45% of total revenue by 8 customers that have requirements to achieve 100% renewable energy with different time lines, as a condition to keep business with them (Volvo Cars, Volvo Trucks, Stellantis, Mercedes-Benz, Volkswagen, Ford in Europe, General Motors)

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

481224000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

8922695000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*The figures provided refers to the cost of losing business with these 8 customers in the long term. Assumptions % of revenue of each customer taking our revenue in 2023. Aptiv total revenue in 2023 was 20,071,051,000. The minimum figure represents loosing the client with the smallest proportion of revenue within Aptiv: 2.40%. 2.40% * 20,071,051,000 481,224,000. The maximum figure represents loosing all of our customers who have 100% renewable energy targets. Since these customers represent 45% of Aptiv's revenue, loosing them would cause Aptiv to lose 8,922,695,000. 45%*20,071,051,000 8,922,695,000.*

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Establish organization-wide targets

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

121000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost to procure 100% renewable electricity globally is calculated by multiplying the current operational consumption (in megawatt-hours per year) by the cost of renewable energy certificates in each country and region. Additionally, the cost to electrify 100% of our natural gas consumption used for heating in our operations is estimated using a rate of 18 USD per cubic meter consumed annually, taking into account the current costs associated with ongoing projects. This scenario assumes a favorable cash flow for power purchase agreements (PPA) that will cover 100% of our EMEA operations.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To align to our customers' requirements, Aptiv is committed to source 100% renewable energy for our global operations by 2030.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ India

☑ Brazil

☑ Mexico

☑ Morocco

☑ Honduras

☑ Singapore

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate change increases the frequency of chronic or acute climate related hazards, such as flooding, storms and heat stress, and they could potentially impact and disrupt our operations, the safety of our personnel and people in our value chain and local communities.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Disruption in production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Operational and supply chain disruption – 1 - 5 days of revenue for the sites locates in extreme and high risk countries. Additionally, 10% increased insurance costs at sites located in extreme and high risk countries.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

2800000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

13900000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

We referenced the Climate Hazard and Vulnerability index from Verisk Maplecroft and identified all countries where Aptiv has operations with a Climate Hazard and Vulnerability score of 5.0 or less, which represents the countries with most risk (index scores closest to zero are of highest risk). We identified the following countries: -India -Honduras -Indonesia -Brazil -Morocco -Mexico Historically, climate-related hazards have impacted Aptiv operations ranging from 1 - 5 business days. Therefore, we estimate the impact of this risk as ranging from 1 - 5 days of revenue generated within the six countries listed above - the risk of loss ranges from 2.8M (one day of average revenue) - 13.9M (five days of average revenue).

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Implementing buffer stocks or dual sourcing

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

In progress to calculate a estimation on the investment in infrastructure that need to be made in our sites that are located at extreme and high risk climate change vulnerability.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Increased cost in facilities infrastructure due to climate adaptation
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

8922695000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 41-50%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

28688815.17

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

The financial metric vulnerable to transition risks is the total revenue from customers requesting low-carbon materials and 100% renewable energy in our operations. This segment accounts for approximately 45% of our total revenue

Water

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

19235616.44

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

2700000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Water stress and drought pose current operational risks in Mexico, where water supply can be suspended on any given day, leading to operational shutdowns. Aptiv is taking preventive measures by investing in water tanks to ensure a continuous water supply and prevent financial losses due to water shortages at its sites.
[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Argentina

☑ Rio Grande

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

11

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ 11-20%

(3.2.11) Please explain

According to the 2023 10-K Annual Financial Report, North America's total revenue was 7.195 billion. With 31 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately 183.77 million. By applying this average to the 1 sites in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of 20.051 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is 1%.

Row 2

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Amur

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ 1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

According to the 2023 10-K Annual Financial Report, Asia Pacific total revenue was 5.697 billion. With 3 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately 211.62 million. By applying this average to the 11 sites in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of 20.051 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is 1%.

Row 3

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ 1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

According to the 2023 10-K Annual Financial Report, Asia Pacific total revenue was 5.697 billion. With 3 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately 211.62 million. By applying this average to the 11 sites in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of 20.051 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is 1%.

Row 4

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

North Macedonia

☑ Vardar

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ 1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

According to the 2023 10-K Annual Financial Report, Europe, Midle East and Africa total revenue was 6.738 billion. With 33 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately 204.18 million. By applying this average to the 11 sites in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of 20.051 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is 1%.
[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*No comment*

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Identification of regional and country-specific legal requirements through systems like ISO 14001 and ISO 50001, in collaboration with our government affairs department. Assessment of the implications of new regulatory requirements, including compliance documentation, evidence gathering, penalties, and mechanisms for adhering to operations regulated by carbon pricing. Engagement with external consulting firms for personnel training and tool development to ensure compliance with new regulations

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ France
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Portugal
☑ North Macedonia
☑ Poland
☑ Serbia
☑ Sweden
☑ Austria
☑ Germany
☑ Republic of Moldova

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Aptiv's products have already helped eliminate 220 million tons of CO2e emissions — demonstrating the power that vehicle electrification has to deliver a greener future of mobility. But to ensure that electric vehicles are sustainable over time, it's essential to optimize the most expensive asset on the vehicle: the battery. In 2023, Aptiv unveiled a cutting-edge predictive battery management software (BMS) solution that leverages physics-based algorithms to model the complex inner workings of a battery, using a digital twin. Traditional BMS relies on phenomenological measurements of the battery, reacting to changes in voltage, current and temperature. However, reactionary approaches are less efficient than predictive options. Aptiv's predictive BMS reduces degradation and improves battery safety and performance

with real-time analytics, including remote health monitoring and anomaly alerts. In addition to improving day-to-day charging performance, BMS is a powerful tool to reduce costs, save space, and limit waste. Currently, portions of the EV's battery capacity are inaccessible to the consumer. The introduction of predictive BMS offers a practical alternative to grace capacity by helping OEMs get the most from the vehicle's entire battery. It enables them to achieve greater performance from a battery that takes up less space, has less overall cost and weight, and has a smaller environmental impact.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The total revenue for our Signal and Power Solutions in 2023 was 14,404,000,000. Our Signal and Power Solutions segment is dedicated to providing the electrical architecture for electric vehicles, in 2023 The revenue generated on high-voltage electrification platforms was 1.7 billion dollars

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

17200000000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

47860000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

*Aptiv's high-voltage solutions has had an annual growth rate in bookings of 34% from 2017 to 2023. In 2022 and 2023 the high-voltage solutions bookings were 17.2B, and we expect an average 20% annual growth in bookings until 2030. This would be an average increase of 4.38B per year. (4.38B * 7 years) 30.66B. 17.2B 30.66B 47.86B.*

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

39724000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

*The cost to realize opportunity is based on the cost of sales for 2023 published in Aptiv's 10K. For 2023 the cost of sales was 16,612 billion for 20,051 billion of revenue. For each 1 in revenue an investment of 0.83 is needed. It is expected that the high-voltage bookings will total 47,860,000,000 in 2030. Therefore, 0.83 * 47,860,000,000 39,724,000,000*

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Optimized, full-system High Voltage solutions enable faster charging and greater range at lower costs, accelerating EV adoption. This is supported by automation through busbars, a power/data backbone, and innovative fastening solutions. Our integrated Power Electronics and BMS portfolio expansion is further enhanced by Aptiv's compute and software capabilities. Engineered Components ensure robust data and connectivity for mobility and other 'harsh environment' end markets. Vertical integration enables rapid prototyping, speed to market, and maximum design efficiency. Our global footprint supports design and manufacturing close to customers, ensuring a consistent experience. We are further digitizing manufacturing processes and tools with data-driven insights that enhance productivity, while higher levels of automation support the quality, productivity, and miniaturization needed for next-gen architectures.

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

☑ Increased resilience to impacts of climate change

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Honduras

☑ India

☑ Mexico

☑ Morocco

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Rio Grande

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Costs associated with water scarcity and extreme weather events are expected to significantly impact Aptiv's operations and revenue. Temporary shutdowns may occur in regions facing extreme water risk. Under current policies, Aptiv is likely to experience increased water supply shortages in countries such as Mexico and Morocco, as well as heightened flooding severity in countries like China, India, and Honduras

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Operational and supply chain disruption – 1 - 5 days of revenue for the sites locates in extreme and high risk countries. Additionally, 10% increased insurance costs at sites located in extreme and high risk countries.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

2800000

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

13900000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

We referenced the Climate Hazard and Vulnerability index from Verisk Maplecroft and identified all countries where Aptiv has operations with a Climate Hazard and Vulnerability score of 5.0 or less, which represents the countries with most risk (index scores closest to zero are of highest risk). We identified the following countries: -India -Honduras -Indonesia -Brazil -Morocco -Mexico

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

23000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

A total investment of 2,300,000 for 46 sites in Mexico and Morocco. Each site will require a minimum investment of 50,000 to install water storage tanks, ensuring water supply for all employees and basic operations for at least one day during a water shortage

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Cost estimates for projects to ensure water supply in areas with extreme water scarcity, as well as for projects to prevent flooding that could damage building infrastructure in high-risk areas.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

14404000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 61-70%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

The total revenue for our Signal and Power Solutions in 2023 was 14,404,000,000, representing 70% of total sales. Our Signal and Power Solutions segment is dedicated to providing the electrical architecture for electric vehicles.

Water

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ OPEX

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

288682

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Through sustainability initiatives, we achieved savings of 96,227 cubic meters, resulting in an average cost reduction of 3 per cubic meter

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The Nominating and Governance Committee recommends individuals for membership on the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee considers a candidate's character and expertise, performance, personal characteristics, diversity (inclusive of gender, race, ethnicity, and age), and professional responsibilities, and also reviews the composition of the Board relative to the long-term business strategy and the challenges and needs of the Board at that time. The Board is committed to searching for the best available candidates to fill vacancies and fully appreciates the value of diversity, viewed in its broadest sense, including gender, race, ethnicity, experience, leadership qualities, and education when evaluating prospective candidates. The Nominating and Governance Committee uses the same selection process and criteria for evaluating all nominees.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

diversity-equality-and-human-rights-policy_oct2019.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

The board is currently overseeing other environmental priorities, such as GHG emissions reductions and an increase in renewable share. Nevertheless, we believe biodiversity will also be a priority within the next 2 years.
[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Board chair

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:
☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply
☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
☑ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
☑ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board has delegated oversight of management's handling of sustainability matters of importance to the Company, including risks, policies, strategies, and programs to the Nominating and Governance Committee, as set forth in the Nominating and Governance Committee Charter. The company publishes an annual Sustainability Report, which can be found at aptiv.com/en/ about/sustainability, and which is aligned with the Global Reporting Initiative(GRI), Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), and Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)frameworks.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the goals the Company establishes with respect to sustainability matters and its progress against those goals, as well as the Company's Sustainability Report available on our website at aptiv.com by clicking on the tab "About", then the heading "Sustainability". The Nominating and Governance Committee ensures that the other Committees of the Board, as appropriate, receive updates relevant to their continuing oversight on specific sustainability topics that otherwise fall within the charter of those Committees.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

☑ Experience in an academic role focused on environmental issues

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Not assessed

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)	*Still assessing the requirements, risks, and opportunities on the subject.*

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Sustainability at Aptiv is driven from the top by our Board and CEO and is embedded at every level of Aptiv. The Board has delegated to the Nominating and Governance Committee oversight of management's handling of Aptiv's ESG programs, including those addressing climate risk. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the goals the Company establishes with respect to ESG matters and its progress against those goals, as well as the Company's Sustainability Report available on our website at aptiv.com. The Nominating and Governance Committee ensures that the other Committees of the Board, as appropriate, receive updates relevant to their continuing oversight on specific ESG topics that otherwise fall within the charter of those Committees. These committees ensure the following: • Sustainability-Linked Financing • Investments Supporting Sustainability Goals • Sustainable Product and Technology Development Aptiv's CEO is in charge to oversee sustainability and climate change mega trends and customers' requirements to address the resources and strategies necessary to accomplish our carbon neutrality target

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Aptiv's CFO is responsible to address the financials to support the energy transition and to ensure the correct climate change reporting. An ESG director controller position has been created to audit internal reporting and progress on climate change activities to achieve the target to become carbon neutral by 2040.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Aptiv's CFO is responsible to address the financials to support the energy transition and to ensure the correct climate change reporting. An ESG director controller position has been created to audit internal reporting and progress on climate change activities to achieve the target to become carbon neutral by 2040.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Developing a climate transition plan

☑ Implementing a climate transition plan

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Aptiv's COO is in charge to review, follow-up and take actions on operational climate change indicators such like greenhouse emissions and renewable energy. COOs responsibility includes to ensure the development of climate change neutral products like electrical architecture for electrical vehicles and low carbon materials in Aptiv's products
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

10

(4.5.3) Please explain

Annual Incentive Plan Awards – Payouts can range from 0% to 200% of the target and are determined by the achievement of pre-established financial goals and strategic objectives. Drive our current and future platforms and Aptiv's sustainability commitments through strategic goals.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

10

(4.5.3) Please explain

Annual Incentive Plan Awards – Payouts can range from 0% to 200% of the target and are determined by the achievement of pre-established financial goals and strategic objectives. Drive our current and future platforms and Aptiv's sustainability commitments through strategic goals
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☑ Reduction in emissions intensity

☑ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The CEO's annual incentive compensation is contingent upon achieving certain sustainability targets (in addition to consideration for achieving other company performance targets). Specifically, achieving reductions in greenhouse gas emissions compared to the 2019 baseline year, as well as achieving renewable energy targets compared to the 2019 baseline year. The annual targets vary for CEO incentive compensation but are aligned to long-term emissions and renewable energy goals for Aptiv

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The reductions in emissions incentives are in line with our goal of being carbon neutral by 2040.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Our Annual Incentive Plan is designed to motivate our NEOs (named executive officers) to drive profitable growth, including earnings and cash flow, and our strategic priorities by measuring the NEOs' performance against our goals the payouts can range from 0% to 200% of target and are determined by achievement of pre-established financial goals and strategic objectives including to drive our current and future platforms and Aptiv's sustainability commitments through strategic goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The reductions in emissions incentives are in line with our water reduction goals
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

All Aptiv's manufacturing sites

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

☑ Commitment to 100% renewable energy

☑ Commitment to net-zero emissions

☑ Commitment to not funding climate-denial or lobbying against climate regulations

☑ Other climate-related commitment, please specify **:**Energy management and energy efficency

Additional references/Descriptions

☑ Description of environmental requirements for procurement

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

ehs-energy-policy_aug_2024.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

All Aptiv's manufacturing sites

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

ehs-energy-policy_aug_2024.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :CLEPA Automotive Industry Action Group

(4.10.3) Describe your organization’s role within each framework or initiative

Committed to establishing science-based targets to achieve carbon neutrality
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Our Senior Director & Controller, ESG, and his ESG Team ensure that all the information that we report related to our climate commitments is accurate and correct [Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☑ Other global trade association, please specify **:**Automotive Industry Action Group

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization’s position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual’s position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization’s position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual’s position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Aptiv works mainly with two organizations: CLEPA (European Association of Automotive Suppliers) and AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group). As tier 1 suppliers of the automotive industry, we search with these alliances to share knowledge to reduce our GHG emissions and propose strategies to the OEMs on how to improve

the reporting of our climate-related activities, how to improve the calculations of the CO2 footprint with our suppliers and how we can achieve carbon neutrality as industry

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

10000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Aptiv is co-founder of one of the associations, the funding is meant to maintain it and ensure it keeps working.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☑ German Automotive Association (VDA)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

On the path to climate-neutral mobility, the electric car has a clear priority. The German automotive industry is investing massively in research, development, and production of EVs, these targets are aligned with Aptiv's vision to design technologies to reduce emissions, increase fuel economy, and minimize the environmental impact of vehicles. Our platforms enable customers to accelerate the path to the fully electrified, software-defined vehicle. Aptiv's Smart Vehicle Architecture (SVA) enables OEM partners to reduce weight, mass, labor, and, ultimately, CO2 emissions.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

45568.425

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

The German automotive industry is committed to the fight against climate change and it's aligned with the European Union position to o cut emissions from cars 55% and from vans 50% by 2030, compared with 2021, in order to reach the goal of zero emissions from new cars and vans by 2035.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

Row 3

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☑ Other global trade association, please specify :CLEPA

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Aptiv works mainly with two organizations: CLEPA (European Association of Automotive Suppliers) and AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group). As tier 1 suppliers of the automotive industry, we search with these alliances to share knowledge to reduce our GHG emissions and propose strategies to the OEMs on how to improve the reporting of our climate-related activities, how to improve the calculations of the CO2 footprint with our suppliers and how we can achieve carbon neutrality as industry

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

45568.425

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

CLEPA, the European Association of Automotive Suppliers, supports the introduction of CO2 emission standards as part of the EU's objectives to reach climate neutrality by 2050.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Emission targets

☑ Other, please specify

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Strategy and target: 1,10,11 in "Summary report", Emission figures: p. 4 "Progress Report", p. 1 and 3 "Index Report".

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024_aptiv_digital_sustainability_consolidated_report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

https://www.aptiv.com/en/about/sustainability

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ GRI

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Strategy

☑ Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Emission target: page 16 (PDF number) Risks and opportunities: page 29-30, 62-62 (PDF number) Strategy: 4 (PDF number)

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Mainstream report_Aptiv_2023.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

https://ir.aptiv.com/investors/financial-information/annual-reports/default.aspx
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Annually

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Annually
[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA APS

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.5ºC - 2.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Global targets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

This will lead to changes in customer demands related to climate change, renewable energy, and decarbonization. Additionally, this scenario could drive up energy prices and heighten consumer awareness of energy consumption and costs. Considering this scenario, we can expect a rapid acceleration and broadening of customer requirements and policies. On a worldwide basis, the relevant authorities in the largest markets in which we operate will instituted regulations requiring reductions in emissions and/or increased fuel economy.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

According to the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6), current policy coverage across sectors is uneven. The policies currently in place are projected to result in higher global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2030, highlighting an 'implementation gap.' Without a significant strengthening of these policies, global warming is projected to reach 3.2C [2.2 to 3.5C] by 2100, with a high likelihood that the 1.5C threshold will be exceeded during the 21st century.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☑ WWF Water Risk Filter

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Country/area

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Level of action (from local to global)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Water scarcity is expected to drive the adoption of water resiliency measures, such as water storage tanks and water treatment facilities, in operational installations. Additionally, areas with extreme water risks are likely to see an increase in regulations and costs aimed at enhancing water efficiency and reducing consumption

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Costs associated with water scarcity and extreme weather events are expected to significantly impact Aptiv's operations and revenue. Temporary shutdowns may occur in regions facing extreme water risk. Under current policies, Aptiv is likely to experience increased water supply shortages in countries such as Mexico and Morocco, as well as heightened flooding severity in countries like China, India, and Honduras

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 4.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP2

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.5ºC - 2.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030
☑ 2040
☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital
☑ Other finance and insurance driving forces, please specify

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer attention to impact

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation
☑ Global targets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Policy and Regulation: Governments worldwide are expected to implement measures aimed at curbing emissions in the transport sector, including stricter fuel efficiency standards, incentives for electric vehicles (EVs), carbon pricing mechanisms, and phased bans on internal combustion engines (ICE) in key markets. Consumer Trends: Demand for low-emission vehicles is steadily growing, with consumers increasingly favoring EVs, hybrids, and more fuel-efficient ICE models as awareness of environmental concerns rises. Technology: Rapid advancements in EV battery technology, hydrogen fuel cells, and autonomous driving systems are significantly enhancing energy efficiency and driving innovation in the automotive sector. Energy Supply: The shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources is accelerating, with the automotive industry focusing on the decarbonization of both vehicle manufacturing and operation, including the integration of renewable energy into electricity grids. Manufacturing Emissions: Automotive companies are prioritizing emission reductions in vehicle production by enhancing energy efficiency, adopting renewable energy sources, and minimizing waste throughout their operations. R&D Investment: Significant investments are being directed toward battery technology, hydrogen fuel cells, and lightweight materials to enable further reductions in vehicle emissions and support long-term sustainability goals.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 4.5 (Representative Concentration Pathway) envisions moderate mitigation efforts, leading to the stabilization of radiative forcing at 4.5 W/m² by 2100. In this scenario, global temperatures are expected to rise by approximately 2.4C to 3C by the end of the century, with controlled but ongoing increases in CO2 emissions. Challenges: The transition to sustainable transportation poses several challenges, including the high upfront costs of building EV infrastructure, consumer hesitance in developing markets, supply chain vulnerabilities (e.g., battery material shortages), and the slow pace of regulatory changes. Opportunities: There are significant opportunities for growth, such as establishing technological leadership in electric vehicles (EVs), forging partnerships in renewable energy, and aligning with global decarbonization goals. These efforts can boost brand reputation and attract greater investor confidence.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Capacity building

☑ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

As a result of the scenario analysis, Aptiv has established a carbon neutrality roadmap to achieve its goal of carbon neutrality by 2040. The key steps in this roadmap include: Reducing CO2 emissions by an additional 25% by 2025. Maintaining annual certification of 124 manufacturing sites to the ISO 14001 standard. Certifying 10 of the most energy-intensive sites to the ISO 50001 standard by 2025. Sourcing 100% of electricity for operations from renewable sources by 2030. Delivering only carbon-neutral products across their lifecycle—from sourcing to disposal—by 2039. Under a "business as usual" high-carbon pathway, Aptiv will need to support its customers by providing technologies that enable a rapid reduction in vehicle emissions. Additionally, Aptiv will face stricter regulations related to CO2 emissions, energy efficiency, and sustainability. These regulations will impact investments in infrastructure and influence commercial agreements with our customers. Furthermore, Aptiv will need to require its supply chain to meet the same decarbonization requirements.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Resilience of business model and strategy

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Although our operations are not water-intensive, we work hard to reduce water consumption at sites located in regions with water scarcity, through process improvements and water-capture initiatives
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.2.5) Description of activities included in commitment and implementation of commitment

The Board has delegated oversight of management's handling of sustainability matters of importance to the Company, including risks, policies, strategies, and programs to the Nominating and Governance Committee, as set forth in the Nominating and Governance Committee Charter.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ Our climate transition plan is voted on at Annual General Meetings (AGMs)

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

Stricter customer demands related to climate change, renewable energy, and decarbonization. Increase ini energy prices and heighten consumer awareness of energy consumption and costs. Considering this scenario, we can expect a rapid acceleration and broadening of customer requirements and policies. On a worldwide basis, the relevant authorities in the largest markets in which we operate will instituted regulations requiring reductions in emissions and/or increased fuel economy.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

We have exceeded our commitment to reduce 25% our scope 1 and 2 emission by 2025, at the end of 2023 we reduced our global greenhouse emissions by 40% compared with the 2021 baseline.

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

Aptiv Climate Tranistion Plan.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

Water targets in high-risk water areas and compliance with best water mangement practices globally

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Products and services

☑ Upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Investment in R&D

☑ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: short, medium term. Continuously increasing societal and environmental consciousness among consumers have led us to identify three "mega-trends" (the internal word we use to describe macroprojections), among which the most important one is the "Green" one. This trend designates technologies designed to help reduce emissions, increase fuel economy, minimize the environmental impact of vehicles, as well as our general portfolio of solutions for electric vehicles. Climate related risk and opportunities have very much influenced our products and services and influenced the company targets and ambition levels short, mid-, and long term. We are developing key enabling technologies in the areas of vehicle charging and vehicle power distribution and control that are essential to the introduction of our customers' electrified vehicle platforms. We are also enabling the trend towards vehicle electrification with high voltage electrification solutions that reduce CO2 emissions and increase fuel economy, helping to make the world greener. In November 2022, Aptiv acquired 85% of Intercable Automotive Solutions S.r.l. for approximately 606 million. As an industry leader in high voltage power distribution and interconnect technology, we expect Intercable Automotive to enhance Aptiv's position as a leader in vehicle architecture systems that enhance our customers transition to electric vehicles. In addition in December 2022, we acquired Wind River for approximately 3.5 billion. With Aptiv and Wind River's synergistic technologies and decades of experience, we expect to expand vehicle automation, and therefore the environmental improvements and the reduction in CO2 emissions resulting from optimized driving behavior. Other capabilities that the acquisition of Wind River will enhance is the Battery Management System, which will ensure faster charging and better range of the vehicle, with a unique approach leveraging empirical and physics-based models for advanced battery controls.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: Short-term. During the climate change risks and opportunities assessment, Aptiv realized that in order to achieve carbon neutrality we need to assess the CO2 impact of our products. Aptiv performed in 2023 over 30 product carbon footprints (PCF) of its main products to understand where is the biggest climate change impact and in which phase of the life cycle of its products more CO2 emissions are emitted. As a result Aptiv is working to reduce the main sources of CO2 emissions in its products. In 2022 a team in the supply chain department started to identify platforms and tools to engage suppliers to disclose their climate change activities and CO2 emissions. Therefore, Aptiv engaged with the company Greenly to request climate change performance to our supply chain and in Q2 of 2023 the strategy will be deployed. In addition Aptiv started a collaboration with Volvo Cars, and Volvo Trucks, to look for low carbon materials, in specific for the copper and

plastic content in the wiring harness. Supply Chains in the automotive component supply industry are traditionally subjected to a high amount of pressure. Common pain points include raw material and labor issues as well as disruptions caused by the highly complex "just-in-time" method. Hence already vulnerable to disruptions, any additional climate-related problem has the potential to trigger further interruptions and complications. Additionally, as we grow in best cost countries, where mitigation-infrastructure is traditionally less developed, the risk for such disruptions is heightened. As a strategic response to that risk, our Enterprise Risk Committee decided to implement enhanced supply chain resilience. This decision resulted in the development of a digital twin of our end-to-end supplier and customer network, providing Aptiv with the ability to "look around the corner" in real time to identify and proactively plan for supply chain risks. With this technology-led approach, Aptiv can achieve upstream visibility into the supply chain, anticipate disruptions, optimize costs, and design products to reduce future supply chain risks. Additionally, Aptiv deployed sustainability training videos to 100% of key direct suppliers.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: Medium-term. According to Aptiv's understanding, R&D is the key to a climate-neutral world, which is one of the reasons why our total investment in research and development, including engineering, was approximately 1.8 billion, 1.5 billion and 1.4 billion for the years ended December 31, 2023, 2022 and 2021, respectively, which includes approximately 492 million, 379 million and 320 million of co-investment by customers and government agencies. Each year we share some engineering expenses with OEMs and government agencies which generally range from 20% to 30% of engineering expenses. This level of co-investment supports product development, accelerates the pace of innovation and reduces the risk associated with successful commercialization of technological breakthroughs. We also encourage "open innovation" and collaborate extensively with peers in the industry, government agencies and academic institutions. In 2022 we achieved the completion of recycled copper quality testing, which validated performance as levels met or exceeded required standards.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: short and medium-term. Climate change scenarios risk and opportunities are critical for decision making of the procurement of renewable energy in our operations. In 2023 we sourced 33% of our operations with renewable energy and installed renewable energy on-site generation in 17 sites. As well this assessment triggered the negotiation of PPAs in Europe, and Singapore and the acquisition of Renewable Energy Certificates in Brazil, China, India and Turkey. We are increasingly subject to the requirements of National and sub-national jurisdictions that cover air emissions, water discharge, hazardous materials and waste management. As a strategic response to those challenges, Aptiv decided on a multilayer approach. For instance, we certified all Aptiv manufacturing sites to the ISO 14001 international standard for measuring and improving an organization's environmental impact. We also achieved 100% renewable electricity at all sites in Ireland and Germany, advancing toward our goal of sourcing 100% renewable energy globally by 2030. Regarding waste reduction, we reached 84% waste recycling rate, exceeding our 2025 goal, even with increased waste from personal protective equipment (PPE) and other COVID-19 challenges. Last but not least, we assess increasingly the potential for on-site production, which already resulted in solar panel installation projects at various Aptiv sites that prevented a total of 1,700 tons of CO2 production in 2021. For instance, one of our facilities in Portugal generated 700,000 kWh of solar power since it went live last April, eliminating about 137 tons of CO2 production and saving for the plant around 40,000 per year

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Revenues

☑ Indirect costs

☑ Capital allocation

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. Specifically, increased public awareness and concern regarding global climate change may continue to result in more international, regional, federal, state and local requirements, or pressure from key stakeholders, to reduce or mitigate climate change, which could impose significant operational restrictions, costs and compliance burdens upon our business or our products. While we have budgeted for future capital and operating expenditures to maintain compliance with environmental laws and regulations, we cannot ensure that environmental laws and regulations will not change or become more stringent in the future. Therefore, we cannot ensure that our costs of complying with current and future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, and our liabilities arising from past or future releases of, or exposure to, hazardous substances will not adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. For example, adoption of GHG or climate change rules in jurisdictions in which we operate facilities could require installation of emission controls, acquisition of emission credits, emission reductions, or other measures that could be costly, and could also impact utility rates and increase the amount we spend annually for energy. Furthermore, if we fail to achieve our sustainability goals and reduce our impact on the environment, or if there becomes a public perception that we have failed to act responsibly regarding climate change and sustainability, we could be exposed to negative publicity, which could adversely affect our business and reputation.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition	Methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition	Indicate the level at which you identify the alignment of your spending/revenue with a sustainable finance taxonomy
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ A sustainable finance taxonomy	*Select from:* ☑ At both the organization and activity level

[Fixed row]

(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition.

Row 1

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☑ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☑ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ CAPEX

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

710203900

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

4

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

6

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

6

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

4

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization’s climate transition

We are evaluating the capital (CAPEX) and operational expenditures (OPEX), as well as the revenue, for our EU manufacturing facilities. This includes the procurement of renewable energy from wind, hydro, and solar sources; investments in on-site photovoltaic (PV) solar systems; electrification of operations;

contributions to reforestation projects; energy efficiency initiatives; and research and sales related to Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEV), Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEV), and Electric Vehicles (EV).
[Add row]

(5.4.2) Quantify the percentage share of your spending/revenue that was associated with eligible and aligned activities under the sustainable finance taxonomy in the reporting year.

Row 1

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:
☑ Electricity generation from hydropower

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:
☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:
☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply
☑ OPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply
☑ Transitional activity

(5.4.2.20) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

10419188

(5.4.2.21) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity as % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0.1

(5.4.2.22) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0.1

(5.4.2.23) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

Calculation based on spending on renewable energy sourcing from hydropower at our manufacturing facilities. The spending value is extracted from our monthly electricity invoices.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Transition to low carbon emission energy at our facilities

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

The Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) assessment is conducted by an external company, Schneider Electric, to ensure that all projects we enter into commercial agreements with comply with this requirement

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx

Row 2

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Electricity generation from wind power

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ OPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Transitional activity

(5.4.2.20) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

9176981

(5.4.2.21) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity as % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0.1

(5.4.2.22) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0.1

(5.4.2.23) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

Calculation based on spending on renewable energy sourcing from wind power at our manufacturing facilities. The spending value is extracted from our monthly electricity invoices.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Transition to low carbon emission energy at our facilities

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

The Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) assessment is conducted by an external company, Schneider Electric, to ensure that all projects we enter into commercial agreements with comply with this requirement

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx

Row 3

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Electricity generation using solar photovoltaic technology

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ OPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Transitional activity

(5.4.2.20) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

2386106

(5.4.2.21) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity as % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.22) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.23) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

Total amount of spending on installation, maintenance and operation of solar panels systems at our facilities,

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Transition to low carbon emission energy at our facilities

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Safety, health, and environmental risk assessments are conducted for all activities carried out at Aptiv

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx

Row 4

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Conservation forestry

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ CAPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Transitional activity

(5.4.2.13) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

56251

(5.4.2.14) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity as % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.15) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.16) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

Total global spending on reforestation and tree planting activities is gathered from our EHS platform, where all sites report their expenditures related to these initiatives

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Our reforestation and tree planting activities support the reduction greenhouse emissions.

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Reforestation and tree planting activities are carried out in collaboration with local communities and governments.

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx

Row 5

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Installation and operation of electric heat pumps

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ CAPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Transitional activity

(5.4.2.13) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

837938

(5.4.2.14) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity as % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.15) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.16) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

We are tracking the total investment in electrifying our facilities and transitioning to 100% renewable electricity. This includes spending on heat pumps, kitchen equipment, and process machinery. The investments apply to activities at our ASUX sites in Braga, Portugal; Szombathely, Hungary; Macedonia; and Gdansk, Poland.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Phase out of fossil fuels in our operations and sourcing 100% Renewable energy.

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Safety, health, and environmental risk assessments are conducted for all activities carried out at Aptiv

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx

Row 6

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Installation, maintenance and repair of energy efficiency equipment

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ CAPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Transitional activity

(5.4.2.13) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

670771

(5.4.2.14) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity as % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.15) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.01

(5.4.2.16) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

We are tracking total investments in energy efficiency projects through our IMCIP platform. To account for an average project payback period of two years, we multiply the total annual savings by 2.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

By reducing our energy consumption, we lower our GHG emissions, contributing to the mitigation of climate change impacts.

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Safety, health, and environmental risk assessments are conducted for all activities carried out at Aptiv. We are considering only the revenue from high-voltage electrification platforms that are manufactured using renewable energy

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx,2024_aptiv_digital_sustainability_consolidated_report.pdf

Row 7

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Manufacture of automotive and mobility components

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ Turnover

☑ OPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Activity enabling mitigation

(5.4.2.6) Taxonomy-aligned turnover from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

241529963

(5.4.2.7) Taxonomy-aligned turnover from this activity as % of total turnover in the reporting year

1.2

(5.4.2.8) Taxonomy-aligned turnover from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total turnover in the reporting year

1.2

(5.4.2.9) Taxonomy-aligned turnover from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total turnover in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.20) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

363277977

(5.4.2.21) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity as % of total OPEX in the reporting year

2.2

(5.4.2.22) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

2.2

(5.4.2.23) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

We account for the total global operational spend of 16.612 billion, allocating 33% of this amount based on regional sales, which equates to 6.738 billion as per our 2023 10-K Report. Considering that 48% of 2023 automotive sales in the EU were BEVs, PHEVs, and HEVs, according to the European Automobile Manufacturers' Association, and that 32% of our products are used in electric vehicles, we also factor in that 57% of the energy for our EU operations comes from renewable sources. For turnover, we consider the annual revenues for the Europe, Africa, and Mideast region, totaling 6.738 billion as per our 2023 10-K Report. We divide this figure by our 48 sites in the region and multiply it by the 31 sites located in the EU. Taking into account that 48% of automotive sales in the EU in 2023 were BEVs, PHEVs, and HEVs, according to the European Automobile Manufacturers' Association, and that 32% of our products are used in electric vehicles, we also factor in that 57% of the energy for our EU operations comes from renewable sources. For turnover, we consider the annual revenue generated from high-voltage electrification platforms, which totals 1.7 billion, as reported in our 2024 sustainability report. Of this amount, 33% is attributed to the Europe, Africa, and Middle East region, based on the 6.738 billion in sales revenue for the region published in our 2023 10-K Report. To calculate the EU portion, we divide this regional figure by our 48 sites and then multiply by the 22 sites located in the EU. Additionally, 57% of the energy for our EU operations comes from renewable sources, which is factored into the analysis.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

By manufacturing technologies and components used in electric vehicles, we help our customers reduce GHG emissions in the automotive sector.

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Safety, health, and environmental risk assessments are conducted for all activities carried out at Aptiv. We are considering only the revenue from high-voltage electrification platforms that are manufactured using renewable energy

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx,2024_aptiv_digital_sustainability_consolidated_report.pdf

Row 8

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Manufacture of automotive and mobility components

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ OPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Activity enabling mitigation

(5.4.2.20) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

81836035

(5.4.2.21) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity as % of total OPEX in the reporting year

1.3

(5.4.2.22) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

1.3

(5.4.2.23) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

We are considering the total amount of R&D spending reported in our 10K 2023 report, 1.8 Billion Dollars, and allocating this spending to the net sales for our EU manufacturing sites and the percentage of Aptiv product content in EVs.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

By manufacturing technologies and components used in electric vehicles, we help our customers reduce GHG emissions in the automotive secto

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Safety, health, and environmental risk assessments are conducted for all activities carried out at Aptiv. We are considering only the spending sites using renewable energy

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

10K 2023.pdf,EU Taxonomy.xlsx
[Add row]

(5.4.3) Provide any additional contextual and/or verification/assurance information relevant to your organization's taxonomy alignment.

(5.4.3.1) Details of minimum safeguards analysis

All business conducted by Aptiv must adhere to the Aptiv Code of Conduct for Business Partners and the Diversity, Equality, and Human Rights Policy, which aligns with minimum social safeguards, including the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights

(5.4.3.2) Additional contextual information relevant to your taxonomy accounting

Taxonomy accounting is considering renewable energy spending, on-site generation of renewable energy and the electrification of fossil fuels in our facilities in Germany, Portugal, Romania, Poland, Hungary, Italy and Spain. Energy efficiency projects are not taken in consideration for this accounting.

(5.4.3.3) Indicate whether you will be providing verification/assurance information relevant to your taxonomy alignment in question 13.1

Select from:
☑ Yes
[Fixed row]

(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?

(5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.5.2) Comment

Our total investment in research and development, including engineering, was approximately 1.8 billion, 1.4 billion and 1.3 billion for the years ended December 31, 2023, 2022 and 2021, respectively, which includes approximately 492 million, 379 million and 320 million of co-investment by customers and government agencies.
[Fixed row]

(5.5.2) Provide details of your organization's investments in low-carbon R&D for capital goods products and services over the last three years.

Row 1

(5.5.2.1) Technology area

Select from:
☑ Electromobility components

(5.5.2.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Small scale commercial deployment

(5.5.2.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

33

(5.5.2.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

600000000

(5.5.2.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

100

(5.5.2.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

Copper has long been an important material for vehicle electrical architectures, and it will become critical as the industry moves toward fully electric vehicles. But as automotive companies work to reduce their impact on the environment, many are looking to transition to more sustainable solutions. Using recycled copper is a promising way to help with those efforts. The process of recycling copper emits less carbon than the process of mining and refining copper, and obviously the benefit increases substantially as the thickness of the conductor increases. For example, Aptiv estimates that producing a kilometer of 6 mm2 cable from recycled copper instead of mined copper can save 162 kg of CO2e emissions, while producing a kilometer of 70 mm2 cable can save 2,022 kg of emissions – that's equivalent to the carbon emitted from driving a typical internal combustion-powered vehicle nearly 5,000 miles. When Aptiv Eco-Core recycled copper is used in a typical high-voltage wiring harness that includes cables at 6 mm2, 8 mm2, 50 mm2 and 70 mm2, the per-harness CO2e savings is about 72 percent, or 17 kg. For a program that includes 119,000 harnesses per year, an OEM could reduce its carbon emissions by 2,000 metric tons annually by switching to recycled copper. To put that into context, one tree on average will absorb a ton of CO2 over its lifetime, so a company would have to plant 2,000 trees per year to achieve the same result without using recycled materials.

Row 2

(5.5.2.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Energy storage

(5.5.2.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Large scale commercial deployment

(5.5.2.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

33

(5.5.2.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

600000000

(5.5.2.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

100

(5.5.2.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

In 2023, Aptiv unveiled a cutting-edge predictive battery management software (BMS) solution that leverages physics-based algorithms to model the complex inner workings of a battery, using a digital twin.

Row 3

(5.5.2.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Machinery automation

(5.5.2.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Large scale commercial deployment

(5.5.2.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

33

(5.5.2.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

600000000

(5.5.2.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

100

(5.5.2.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

Advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS) are electronic systems in a vehicle that use advanced technologies to assist the driver. They can include many active safety features, and often the terms "ADAS" and "active safety" are used interchangeably. Aptiv's Gen 6 ADAS platform is available both as a turnkey solution and as individual components. Our intelligent approach to the full system results in up to 25% lower cost and 60% lower energy consumption than typical alternatives – but each piece of the platform is designed to add value to any ADAS configuration.
[Add row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

547192.16

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

390851.54

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

857885.28

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

1201039.39

(5.9.5) Please explain

CAPEX costs encompass projects such as decentralizing water heating systems, repairing leaks in underground water pipes, improving water efficiency in manufacturing processes, reusing water in restrooms and HVAC systems, and implementing rainwater harvesting. OPEX costs cover expenses related to industrial wastewater discharge permits, potable and wastewater quality testing, water treatment, and maintenance activities for cooling towers and potable water filtration We considering an increse in 4% next year for CAPEX and OPEX spend to water
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ No standardized procedure	*We are currently establishing the appropriate criteria and pricing for carbon in new projects.*

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:
☑ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Wanted to cover top 10 suppliers (by spend) in each direct material category first - covered 71% of direct material spend. Plan to complete remaining suppliers by end of 2023.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:
☑ 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

0
[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Material sourcing

☑ Procurement spend
☑ Product lifecycle
☑ Regulatory compliance
☑ Business risk mitigation
☑ Leverage over suppliers
☑ Strategic status of suppliers
☑ Product safety and compliance
☑ Supplier performance improvement
☑ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We collaborate with our suppliers to align their sustainability targets with ours and to jointly develop capabilities to achieve these goals. In 2023, we rolled out Aptiv's sustainability training video to 100% of our key direct suppliers. Additionally, all key direct suppliers either signed their agreement with Aptiv's Code of Conduct or affirmed compliance with their own comparable code. We prioritize suppliers based on factors such as environmental impact (including contributions to Scope 3 emissions), spending and the risks and opportunities within our value chain strategy.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	Comment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify **:**ISO 14001

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline

☑ Supplier scorecard or rating

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

All of our key direct suppliers have affirmed their alignment with Aptiv's Code of Conduct or their own comparable code, setting a high standard for sustainability principles within Aptiv's supply chain. From the selection process through the duration of our relationships, we conduct real-time screening and monitoring of supply chain risks, including regulatory, compliance, reputational, and financial risks. By adopting a comprehensive approach that emphasizes responsible sourcing and resilience management, we are building a stable, ethical, and sustainable business model for the future.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**No contractual obligations but we request

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Certification

☑ Supplier scorecard or rating

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We strongly encourage our suppliers to participate in sustainability surveys and ratings such as EcoVadis, RBA SAQ, and CDP. These tools provide valuable insights into their carbon footprint, sustainability strategies, and contributions to our overall GHG emissions.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Circular economy

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

☑ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We ask our suppliers to provide solutions that incorporate recycled or bio-based materials, or reduce product weight. This engagement helps us identify new solutions and technologies, assess their environmental impact and costs, evaluate their market maturity and availability, and explore how these innovations can be integrated into our products.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement **:**Recycled content and biobased materials

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

☑ Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions

☑ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

Information collection

☑ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Collaborate with suppliers to develop reuse infrastructure and reuse models

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We request that our strategic suppliers provide low-carbon materials and components, with a focus on copper cables, plastics, and resins. We also ask them to disclose the carbon footprint of their materials and the methodology used for its calculation. Additionally, we encourage our suppliers to increase their use of renewable energy and electrify their operations to help reduce the carbon footprint of our products.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement **:**Low carbon materials

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain transparency and human rights

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

☑ Develop or distribute resources on how to map upstream value chain

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:
☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:
☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Affirmation by 100% of our key direct suppliers of their alignment with Aptiv's Code of Conduct or their own comparable code

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:
☑ Unknown
[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:
☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Based on the current regulatory environment, we believe that OEMs, including those in the U.S. and China, will be subject to requirements for even greater reductions in carbon dioxide ("CO2") emissions over the next ten years. For example, in the U.S., the Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") proposed new rules in 2023 that could require as much as 67% of all light-duty vehicles and 46% of medium-duty vehicles sold in the U.S. by model year 2032 to be all-electric, and the California Air Resources Board approved rules in 2022, which require that all new passenger cars and light trucks sold in California be electric vehicles or other emissions free models by 2035. In 2021, the EPA also finalized more stringent GHG emissions standards for passenger car and light trucks for model years 2023-2026. These and other standards will require meaningful innovation as OEMs and suppliers are challenged to find ways to improve engine management, electrical power consumption, vehicle weight and integration of electric vehicles and alternative technologies. As a result, suppliers are developing innovations that result in significant improvements in fuel economy, emissions and performance from internal combustion engines and electric vehicles. At the same time, suppliers are also developing and marketing new and alternative technologies that support electric vehicles, hybrid vehicles and fuel cell products to improve fuel economy and emissions. We are developing key enabling technologies in the areas of vehicle charging and vehicle power distribution and control that are essential to the introduction of our customers' electrified vehicle platforms. We are also enabling the trend towards vehicle electrification with high voltage electrification solutions that reduce CO2 emissions and increase fuel economy, helping to make the world greener.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement with customers and new business opportunities has led to revenue growth and record-breaking business bookings. In 2022, we distributed and presented our sustainability report to 22 out of our 42 customers, focusing on the top 20 largest customers by revenue. During this process, we gathered customer feedback and implemented action plans as part of our Customer Service Review process to align with their climate change requirements and ambitions. Additionally, we have been proactively engaging with customers to ensure their satisfaction on ESG topics and to better understand and quantify their sustainability goals and expectations.

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

During Investor Day, each earnings call, and during the publication of our annual sustainability report, we update our shareholders on the progress of our sustainability targets, achievements, and innovations. We also communicate how our business strategy is driving innovation in developing sustainable products and services to power a software-defined future, while contributing to a zero-emissions future.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

An increase in Aptiv's stock price, the engagement of new investors, and 12% year-over-year business growth.

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify **:**Suppliers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Run a campaign to encourage innovation to reduce environmental impacts

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We collaborate with our suppliers to align their sustainability targets with ours and to jointly develop capabilities to achieve these goals. In 2023, we rolled out Aptiv's sustainability training video to 100% of our key direct suppliers. Additionally, all key direct suppliers either signed their agreement with Aptiv's Code of Conduct or affirmed compliance with their own comparable code. We prioritize suppliers based on factors such as environmental impact (including contributions to Scope 3 emissions), spending and the risks and opportunities within our value chain strategy.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Supplier proposals to offer low carbon and high recycled content solutions and materials. Supplier engagement to increase their renewable energy share, calculation of their GHG emissions and target aligment to what the industry is requering. Cascade this strategy down to tier 2, tier 3, and tier 4 suppliers.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify **:**Communities

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Other education/information sharing, please specify

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Actions around the world to eliminate waste, protect fresh water, reduce our carbon footprint and help the communities where we operate and encourage meaningful employee participation in community outreach.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Plant 80,000 trees per year (480,000 total trees by 2025). Support global reforestation (# of trees planted).
[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Row 1

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Innovation

☑ New product or service that reduces customers' operational emissions

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

In 2023, Aptiv unveiled a cutting-edge predictive battery management software (BMS) solution that leverages physics-based algorithms to model the complex inner workings of a battery, using a digital twin. Aptiv's predictive BMS reduces degradation and improves battery safety and performance with real-time analytics, including remote health monitoring and anomaly alerts. In addition to improving day-to-day charging performance, BMS is a powerful tool to reduce costs, save space and limit waste. Currently, portions of the EV's battery capacity are inaccessible to the consumer. This practice is known as grace capacity and provides a buffer against battery degradation, but it adds to the overall cost and mass of the battery without increasing driving range.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☑ Improved resource use and efficiency

☑ Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:
☑ 1-3 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:
☑ No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Energy efficiency savings and Scope 3 reductions are customized based on customer requests, vehicle design, and performance
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.

Row 1

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

☑ Ini1

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

☑ Increase proportion of renewable energy purchased

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

As part of Volvo commitmment to become carbon neutral by 2040, we are working to source 100% climate neutral energy in our manufacturing sites no later than 2025

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

☑ Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Yes, emissions savings only

(5.13.1.9) Estimated savings in the reporting year in metric tons of CO2e

32452

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

The total number of sites that deliver components to Volvo Cars with 100% climate neutral energy in 2025

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

☑ Yes

Row 2

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

☑ Ini2

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Innovation

☑ New product or service that reduces customers' operational emissions

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

In 2023 Volvo Cars granted to Aptiv the first program to manufacture wiring hareness in Novi Sad, Serbia with 100% Recycled Copper, reducing the scope 3 carbon footprint of the product by 90%

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

☑ Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Yes, emissions savings only

(5.13.1.9) Estimated savings in the reporting year in metric tons of CO2e

45526.5

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

Volume of units (wiring harness) produced with 100% recycled copper

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.*
Plastics	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.*

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?	Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with	Details of structural change(s), including completion dates
	Select all that apply ☑ Yes, an acquisition	*Wind River and Intercable*	*They were acquired in 2022 but only included in the reporting year 2023, in terms of emissions.*

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☑ No, because the impact does not meet our significance threshold

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

The significance threshold to trigger a base year recalculation is 5%. The newly acquired organizations only account for 1.1% of Aptiv's total emissions in 2023 for Scope 1 and 2 and less than 3% of Scope 3 emissions, therefore there was no base year recalculation.

(7.1.3.4) Past years’ recalculation

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*Aptiv's GHG emission reduction target is scope 1&2 market-based, but we report both.*

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Refrigerants, fossil fuel consumed in fire protection equipment and company vehicles

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☑ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

This is a very small percentage.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Based on internal records.
[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20388.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol guidelines. The main emission factors used are provided by the Base-Carbon - ADEME 0 Bilans GES. Other emission factors used are provided by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or International Energy Agency (IEA) if they take into consideration combustion and upstream total emissions

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

361151.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions are calculated following the GHG protocol guidelines. For what concerns location-based emissions, emission factors used are provided by the International Energy Agency (IEA). In particular, for 2021 GHG emissions calculations “CO2 per kWh electricity only” IEA 2021 emission factors have been used. Emission factors are re-evaluated and updated on an annual basis to improve accuracy.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

344006.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions are calculated following the GHG protocol guidelines. For what concerns Market-based, instruments like Energy Attribute Certificates (RECs, GOs, I-REC, etc.); Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), and green electricity products from energy suppliers or other available evidence are used where applicable. If no RECs, we use if provided the emission factor from the local electricity supplier. As a last resort, we use emission factors provided by the IEA. The following evidence is provided to ensure the accountability of the energy market instruments: - Contracted renewable energy with evidence of contracts and/or invoices - On-site generation and its records - Signed statements by power generators/suppliers

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4765232.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This source comprises of emissions related to the production (extraction, processing…) of inputs (goods and services) purchased by Aptiv. Methodological approach • Aptiv tracks its purchases expenses per product category. o Direct Connectivity Systems & Components Electrical/Electronic Components Raw materials and Mechanical Value Stream Specific Assemblies and Processes o Indirect Consumables Contract Labor Engineering Facilities / Utilities Information Technology Logistics Professional Services Travel & Expenses Other • These categories were detailed as much as possible (cable value stream, printed circuit boards, plastic components, etc.) and a monetary emission factor related to products manufacturing was applied to each category. Main assumptions made: • No significant assumptions were made to estimate emissions from this source, data must be extracted from Quantum Platform link. Data: • Aptiv' data on its purchases of goods (metals, plastics, paper, etc.) and services (insurance, cleaning, etc.) in reporting year. • Hellermmanntyton, Winchester, Intercable and Wind River (only indirect spend) financial information related to the purchase of goods and services, extracted form their own financial data management systems. • Intercable total weight related to the purchase of goods and services of direct spend multiplied by secondary emission factors. • Purchase of goods and services information must be extracted from Quantum Platform. • Quantum information for the year in report is closed on the 5th month of every next year. • Currency to use is Euro, an exchange rate from US Dollars to Euros must be done using an average for the reporting year. OFX can be used as a tool to obtain this information - https://www.ofx.com/en-au/forex-news/historical-exchange-rates/yearly-average-rates/ Emission factors: • Base Carbone - ADEME - Bilans GES. • Ecoinvent 3.10 and 3.7

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

392594.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

3.2 – Capital goods Since this source is not significant in Aptiv carbon footprint, an aggregated approach was chosen. • Thus, depreciation was not detailed per category, but considered as a whole using amortization and depreciation expenses of the reporting year. • An average monetary emission factor was applied to these amortization and depreciation expenses. An average emission factor of capital goods was calculated at 530 kgCO2e / ('000) amortized. This value was calculated using ADEME monetary factors, with the assumption that Aptiv's amortization and depreciation expenses are split equally between buildings construction (360 kgCO2e / '000), and purchases of machinery and equipment (700 kgCO2e / '000). Aptiv's amortization and depreciation expenses are extracted from Aptiv's annual report. Emission factors: Carbone 4 calculations based on ADEME monetary emissions factors.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

140485.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Aptiv measures its energy consumption, by energy source (gas, fuel oil, electricity...). • These consumptions were organized into relevant categories and an upstream emission factor was applied to each category. • For electricity consumption, an upstream emission factor per country was applied. It takes into account fuel upstream emissions, electrical losses for each country, and infrastructure. Main assumptions: • Since some countries are not listed in the upstream electricity emission factors database, regional emission factors (Latin America, Africa, etc.) have been applied. Data: • Aptiv data on its consumption of fuel, and electricity (by country). Emission factors: • DEFRA DCF Carbon Factors Database 2017 (Electricity upstream excluding infrastructure and supply chain). • AIEC & IPCC 2014 (Electricity upstream infrastructure and supply chain). • Base Carbone - ADEME - Bilans GES (fuels upstream).

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

393328.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions related to: 1. the transportation of goods purchased (e.g. transport of plastic materials, from production centers to the company's factory). 2. transportation and distribution services of Aptiv's sold products if paid by Aptiv. Methodological approach: Aptiv collects information on its freight expenses over the year distinguishing upstream and downstream freight transport. • Total expenses (paid by Aptiv or its suppliers) related to the transport of goods purchase from Aptiv's suppliers to Aptiv's centers were calculated using Aptiv upstream freight transport expenses. • Total expenses paid by Aptiv related to the transport of its sold products correspond to Aptiv's downstream freight expenses. • A monetary emission factor was applied to each category (upstream and downstream) per mode of transportation. Main assumptions: Total expenses related to transport of goods purchased are calculated using Aptiv's upstream freight expenses and the

share of Aptiv upstream freight expenses in total upstream freight expenses (paid by Aptiv or Aptiv's suppliers). • Total expenses paid by Aptiv to transport its sold products correspond to Aptiv downstream freight expenses. Data: • Aptiv freight expenses. Emission factors: • ADEME carbon database.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8157.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This item comprises emissions related to the transport, storage, and treatment of waste generated by Aptiv's operations. Methodological approach: • Aptiv tracks the amounts of waste generated by its operations per product category and type of waste treatment. • An emission factor was applied to each waste category. Main assumptions: • For categories with the highest amounts of waste generated, an emission factor appropriate to each category was applied (e.g. paper, plastics, hazardous waste, metals...). • A major emission factor of 2,680 kg/ton (emission factor from plastics incineration) was applied in the remaining categories. Data: • Aptiv data on waste generated by its operations. Emission factors: • ADEME Carbon database.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7821.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This source comprises emissions related to business trips taken by Aptiv employees (e.g. an employee traveling by train to go to a conference). Methodological approach: • Aptiv tracks and collects its expenses related to business trips per mode of transportation (personal car, air, car rental, etc.). • A monetary emission factor was applied to each category/mode of transportation. Main assumptions: • Car rental was considered as personal car mileage with regard to the emission factor applied. • This assumption is consistent considering that the emission factor of car rental, in kgCO2e/('000), is less than the emission factor of

personal car mileage. Data: • Aptiv data on business trips expenses per mode of transportation. Emission factors: • ADEME Carbon database Carbone 4 calculations.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

366651.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This item takes into account emissions related to employees' travel from and to their worksites. Methodological approach: This source was considered as not significant in Aptiv's footprint, a simplified approach was applied: rather than examining in detail the commuting distance of each employee, global majoring assumptions were made and then applied to all Aptiv employees. Main assumptions: • It was considered that each Aptiv employee travels a distance of 15 km from home to get to work (source: CGDD). • It was considered that every Aptiv employee travels by car to get to his workplace • It has been assumed that each Aptiv employee goes to work on every working day of the reporting year (conservative hypothesis). Data: Number of Aptiv employees. Emission factors: CGDD – average commuting distance ADEME Carbon database. (conservative hypothesis).

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category includes emissions related to the use of leased assets (e.g. fuel combustion in a vehicle rented by the company). Methodological approach: • Since Aptiv adopts an operational control approach on its scopes 1 & 2, this item is not applicable.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

105861.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category includes emissions that occur in the reporting year from transportation and distribution of products sold by Aptiv between its operations and the end consumer, if not paid for by Aptiv. Methodological approach: • Aptiv collects information on its downstream freight expenses over the year and the share of these expenses in total expenses related to the transportation of its sold products (paid by Aptiv or its clients). • Total expenses, not paid by Aptiv, related to the transportation and distribution of Aptiv's sold product were calculated using these two values. • A monetary emission factor was applied per mode of transportation. Main assumptions: • Total expenses related to the transportation of Aptiv's sold products are equal to downstream freight expenses over the year divided by the share of downstream freight expenses paid by the company. • Total expenses, not paid by Aptiv, related to the transportation of Aptiv's sold products is the difference between the value explained above and Aptiv's downstream freight expenses. Data: • Aptiv freight expenses. • Aptiv CDP response. Emission factors: • ADEME carbon database

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6084926.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

In order to calculate these emissions, it is necessary to identify an allocation key that matches the share to be allocated to Aptiv products in total emissions of equipped vehicles over their lifetime. • (Number of products sold) x (% share to allocate to the product) is also equivalent the mass of products sold divided by the average mass of an equipped vehicle. • For each division, Aptiv provided the following information o Mass of products sold per product category Methodological approach: • It was considered that emissions from the processing of products sold by Aptiv account for a portion of emissions emitted during the

assembly of vehicles equipped with Aptiv products. Emissions related to vehicle assembly are included in Aptiv customers scope 1 & 2 emissions. •Thus, from Aptiv's customer list, an emission intensity (tCO2e/vehicle produced) related to vehicle assembly was calculated per car manufacturer. • The average assembly intensity (tCO2e/vehicle produced) was calculated using these intensities per car manufacturer weighted by each manufacturer's share in Aptiv sales. • This average was then multiplied by the number of vehicles equivalent to Aptiv's sold products in terms of proportional mass of Aptiv's products to obtain total emissions from this source. Main assumptions: • It was considered that scopes 1&2 emissions of Aptiv's customers (car manufacturers) fully correspond to emissions from vehicle assembly. • Mass of products sold (Vehicle Equivalent) – Data from total product weight shipments to customers including ASUX, S&PS and unknown segments (still to be defined) from PCW (Production Control Workbench - SAP). Data: • 5 top customers in Aptiv's sales from Aptiv's 10K are considered every year. o Scope 1 &2 data from customers come from CDP annual report or annual sustainability report from customer in case that the customer didn't report to CDP that year. o Scope 2 market-based customer emissions are considered in average assembly intensity. o Annual vehicle production from CDP or customer annual report (10K or Annual Sustainability Report). • Mass of products sold - 3.11 – Use of Sold Products Tab in the Excel File. o Aptiv – Extracted from PCW Emission factors: • Aptiv and Intercable customers public information (CDP responses and annual reports). Emission factors were calculated using scope 1&2 emissions divided by the number of vehicles produced in the reporting year per car manufacturer.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3484388.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category includes emissions from the use of products sold by Aptiv in the reporting year. Methodological approach: • It was considered that emissions from the use of products sold by Aptiv and Intercable account for a portion of emissions that occur during the use of vehicles equipped with Aptiv products over their lifetime. • The number of vehicles equivalent, in terms of mass, to products sold by Aptiv was calculated by dividing total mass of Aptiv products by the average weight of a vehicle. • This number was then multiplied by the average performance of an equipped vehicle to obtain total emissions from this source. • To calculate the transmission and distribution (T&D) losses of charging cable sold the following calculations shall be done: o Calculate the Aptiv's Product Weight in Average in Charging Station o Calculate the average percentage weight of cable charging cables in a charging station o Calculate the total energy charged in the charging cables o Calculate the total energy losses of the charging cables o Calculate the total CO2 emissions due to T&D losses o This calculation includes Aptiv and Intercable charging cables o Average energy loss in charging cables o Global electricity emission factor for T&D losses o Global electricity emission factor Emission factors: The following are valid Life-cycle GHG emission factor sources: • Defra database of average emission factors (gCO2e/km) - UK Government GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting. • International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT). • International Energy Agency (EIA). •Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7795.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category includes emissions from the waste disposal and treatment of products sold by Aptiv at the end of their life. Methodological approach: • Aptiv's end-of-life products are considered as waste. Therefore, it is possible to treat this category the same way as category 3.5 related to the waste generated. • Waste volumes (i.e. Aptiv's end-of-life products) correspond to volumes of products sold in the reporting year. • An emission factor per waste category (distinguishing copper and other materials) was applied to calculate emissions from this source. Main assumptions: • End-of-life products, excluding copper, are regarded as plastics waste. Data: • Aptiv data on its sold products (Mass of sold products per segment and per product category). Emission factors: • ADEME carbon database.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Since Aptiv doesn't lease any assets/products to its clients, this item is not applicable.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Since Aptiv doesn’t have franchises, this item is not applicable.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3161.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category includes direct emissions of Aptiv’s investments over which it doesn’t have operational control (e.g. direct emissions from a company of which Aptiv owns 10% of total shares). Methodological approach: • Emissions are estimated from Aptiv's amount of investment in non-consolidated companies. • Since non-consolidated affiliates are mostly non-listed companies that have an industrial activity similar to Aptiv's, a monetary ratio representative of the automotive sector is applied on the amount of Aptiv’s investments. Main assumptions: • Since data on investments are not available for Aptiv, Aptiv's investments of year in report are used. Data: • Aptvi’s 10K: data on Aptiv’s investments in non-consolidated affiliates. Emission factor: • Carbone 4 - sectoral monetary ratios database.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant.
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol guidelines. Main emission factors used are provided by the Base-Carbon - ADEME 0 Bilans GES. Other emission factors used are provided by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or International Energy Agency (IEA), if they take into consideration combustion and upstream total emissions.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21515

(7.6.2) End date

12/30/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol guidelines. Main emission factors used are provided by the Base-Carbon - ADEME 0 Bilans GES. Other emission factors used are provided by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or International Energy Agency (IEA), if they take into consideration combustion and upstream total emissions. When re-stating Past Year 1 emissions, the newly acquired entities, Intercable and Wind River have been added, by estimating their emissions based on the % of emissions attributed to them in the reporting year.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

435622

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

264587

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions are calculated following the GHG protocol guidelines. For what concerns location-based emissions, emission factors used are provided by the International Energy Agency (IEA). In particular, for 2023 GHG emissions calculations "CO2 per kWh electricity only" IEA 2023 emission factors have been used. Emission factors are re-evaluated and updated on an annual basis to improve accuracy. For what concerns Market-based, instruments like Energy Attribute Certificates (RECs, GOs, I-REC, etc.); Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), and green electricity products from energy suppliers or other available evidence are used where applicable. If no RECs, we use if provided the emission factor from the local electricity supplier. As a last resort, we use emission factors provided by the

IEA. The following evidence is provided to ensure the accountability of the energy market instruments: - Contracted renewable energy with evidence of contracts and/or invoices - On-site generation and its records - Signed statements by power generators/suppliers.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

411763

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

344970

(7.7.3) End date

12/30/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions are calculated following the GHG protocol guidelines. For what concerns location-based emissions, emission factors used are provided by the International Energy Agency (IEA). In particular, for 2023 GHG emissions calculations "CO2 per kWh electricity only" IEA 2023 emission factors have been used. Emission factors are re-evaluated and updated on an annual basis to improve accuracy. For what concerns Market-based, instruments like Energy Attribute Certificates (RECs, GOs, I-REC, etc.); Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), and green electricity products from energy suppliers or other available evidence are used where applicable. If no RECs, we use if provided the emission factor from the local electricity supplier. As a last resort, we use emission factors provided by the IEA. The following evidence is provided to ensure the accountability of the energy market instruments: - Contracted renewable energy with evidence of contracts and/or invoices - On-site generation and its records - Signed statements by power generators/suppliers. When re-stating Past Year 1 emissions, the newly acquired entities, Intercable and Wind River have been added, by estimating their emissions based on the % of emissions attributed to them in the reporting year. [Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6218895

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This source comprises emissions related to the production (extraction, processing..) of inputs (goods and services) purchased by Aptiv. These categories were detailed as much as possible (cable value stream, printed circuit boards, plastic components, etc.) and a monetary emission factor related to product manufacturing was applied to each category. This category has been 100% verified by an external auditor.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

378645

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Since this source is not significant in Aptiv's carbon footprint, an aggregated approach was chosen. • Thus, depreciation was not detailed per category but considered as a whole using amortization and depreciation expenses of the reporting year. • An average monetary emission factor was applied to these amortization and depreciation expenses. An average emission factor of capital goods was calculated at 530 kgCO2e / ('000) amortized. This value was calculated using ADEME monetary factors, with the assumption that Aptiv's amortization and depreciation expenses are split equally between building construction (360 kgCO2e / '000), and purchases of machinery and equipment (700 kgCO2e / '000). Aptiv's amortization and depreciation expenses are extracted from Aptiv's annual report. Emission factors: Carbone 4 calculations based on ADEME monetary emissions factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

102460

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Aptiv measures its energy consumption, by energy source (gas, fuel oil, electricity...). These consumptions were organized into relevant categories and an upstream emission factor was applied to each category. For electricity consumption, an upstream emission factor per country was applied. It takes into account fuel upstream emissions, electrical losses for each country, and infrastructure.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

373622

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions related to 1. the transportation of goods purchased (e.g. transport of plastic materials, from production centers to the company's factory). 2. transportation and distribution services of Aptiv's sold products if paid by Aptiv. Aptiv collects information on its freight expenses over the year distinguishing upstream and downstream freight transport. • Total expenses (paid by Aptiv or its suppliers) related to the transport of goods purchased from Aptiv's suppliers to Aptiv's centers were calculated using Aptiv upstream freight transport expenses. • Total expenses paid by Aptiv related to the transport of its sold products correspond to Aptiv's downstream freight expenses. • A monetary emission factor was applied to each category (upstream and downstream) per mode

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

32885

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This item comprises emissions related to the transport, storage, and treatment of waste generated by Aptiv's operations. Methodological approach: • Aptiv tracks the amounts of waste generated by its operations per product category and type of waste treatment. • An emission factor was applied to each waste category.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

16274

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This source comprises emissions related to business trips taken by Aptiv employees (e.g. an employee traveling by train to go to a conference.) Methodological approach: • Aptiv tracks and collects its expenses related to business trips per mode of transportation (personal car, air, car rental, etc.) • A monetary emission factor was applied to each category/mode of transportation

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

340082

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This item takes into account emissions related to employees' travel from and to their worksites. Methodological approach: This source being considered as not significant in Aptiv's footprint, a simplified approach was applied: rather than examining in detail the commuting distance of each employee, global majoring assumptions were made and then applied to all Aptiv employees.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

39458

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

CO2 emissions from leased office spaces are calculated based on the total floor area (in square meters) and multiplied by an emission factor expressed in kg CO2 per square meter.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Included in the upstream transportation and distribution category after discussion with SBTi.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

41063

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Other, please specify **:**Emission factors were calculated using scope 1&2 emissions divided by the number of vehicles produced in the reporting year per car manufacturer)

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

In order to calculate these emissions, it is necessary to identify an allocation key that matches the share to be allocated to Aptiv products in total emissions of equipped vehicles over their lifetime. Aptiv customer's public information (CDP responses and annual reports). Emission factors were calculated using scope 1&2 emissions divided by the number of vehicles produced in the reporting year per car manufacturer.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4493470

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category includes emissions from the use of products sold by Aptiv in the reporting year. Methodological approach: • It was considered that emissions from the use of products sold by Aptiv account for a portion of emissions that occur during the use of vehicles equipped with Aptiv products over their lifetime. • The number of vehicles equivalent, in terms of mass, to products sold by Aptiv was calculated by dividing total mass of Aptiv products by the average weight of a vehicle. • This number was then multiplied by the average performance of an equipped vehicle to obtain total emissions from this source. This category has been 100% verified by an external auditor.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

100647

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Please explain This category includes emissions from the waste disposal and treatment of products sold by Aptiv at the end of their life. Methodological approach: • Aptiv's end-of-life products are considered as waste. Therefore, it is possible to treat this category the same way as category 3.5 related to the waste generated. • Waste volumes (i.e. Aptiv's end-of-life products) correspond to volumes of products sold in the reporting year. • An emission factor per waste category (distinguishing copper and other materials) was applied to calculate emissions from this source

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Since Aptiv doesn't lease any assets/products to its clients, this item is not applicable.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Since Aptiv doesn't have franchises, this item is not applicable.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2308.65

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category includes direct emissions of Aptiv's investments over which it doesn't have operational control (e.g. direct emissions from a company of which Aptiv owns 10% of total shares). Methodological approach: • Emissions are estimated from Aptiv's amount of investment in non-consolidated companies. • Since non-consolidated affiliates are mostly non-listed companies that have an industrial activity similar to Aptiv's, a monetary ratio representative of the automotive sector is applied on the amount of Aptiv's investments. Main assumptions: • Since data on investments are not available for Aptiv, Aptiv's investments of year in report are used. Data: • Aptvi's 10K: data on Aptiv's investments in non-consolidated affiliates. Emission factor: • Carbone 4 - sectoral monetary ratios database.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

No additional categories

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

No additional categories
[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/30/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

5500002

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

346011

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

130978

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

356805

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

28143

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

10913

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

397927

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

86329

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

62386

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

5383571

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

7243

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

2983

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

No comment.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:*

	Verification/assurance status
	☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

24-aptiv-limited-assurance-statement.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

The whole document, specifically on page 1: Ernst & Young has been engaged by Aptiv plc (“Aptiv”) to perform a ‘limited assurance engagement,’ as defined by International Standards on Assurance Engagements, hereafter referred to as the engagement, to report on Aptiv’s selected performance data, consisting of: • Scope 1 GHG emissions (tCO2e).

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ ISAE 3410

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

24-aptiv-limited-assurance-statement.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Whole document, specifically in page 1: Ernst & Young has been engaged by Aptiv plc (“Aptiv”) to perform a ‘limited assurance engagement,’ as defined by International Standards on Assurance Engagements, here after referred to as the engagement, to report on Aptiv’s selected performance data, consisting of: • Scope 2 GHG emissions (tCO2e).

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ ISAE 3410

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

☑ Scope 3: Use of sold products

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

24-aptiv-limited-assurance-statement.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

The whole document, specifically on page 1: Ernst & Young has been engaged by Aptiv plc (“Aptiv”) to perform a ‘limited assurance engagement,’ as defined by International Standards on Assurance Engagements, hereafter referred to as the engagement, to report on Aptiv’s selected performance data, consisting of: • Scope 3 GHG emissions (tCO2e) - purchase of goods and services and use of sold products.

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ ISAE 3410

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80382

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

21.93

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Total scope 1 and 2 emissions in 2022 were 366,484 tons of CO2, and the total for 2023 was 282,609 tons of CO2. The change in emissions is a decrease by 83,979 tons of CO2. An increase in renewable energy consumption helped reduce Scope 2 emissions by 80,382 tons of CO2 between 2022 and 2023. We arrived at -21.93% from (-80,382/366,484)*100.*

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3493

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.95

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Due to global warming, winter is less cold, and therefore less natural gas is needed to heat. Moreover, some natural gas consumption has been electrified. We arrived at -0.95% by doing (-34937/366484)*100.*

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No comment.

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3597

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.98

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Total scope 1 and 2 emissions in 2022 were 365,615 tons of CO2, total for 2023 was 282,609 tons of CO2. The change in emissions is a decrease by 83,006 tons of CO2. Due to increase global warming, winter is increasingly less cold and therefore less natural gas is needed to heat our facilities. Moreover, some of our natural gas consumption is being substituted and electrified. A decrease in natural gas consumption helped reducing the scope 1 emissions by 3,597 tons CO2 between 2022 and 2023. We arrived at -0.98% from (-3,597/365,615)*100.*
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.11) How do your total Scope 3 emissions for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.11.1) For each Scope 3 category calculated in 7.8, specify how your emissions compare to the previous year and identify the reason for any change.

Purchased goods and services

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

718893

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

13

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Part of the variance was likely due to FX rate changes between 2022 and 2023. The remaining variance is due to spend growth in Semi, specifically discrete (bifurcation & industry growth)

Capital goods

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

32634

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

9

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Spending

Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

28519

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

22

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Energy consumption and emission factors

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

16817

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

5

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Business growth

Waste generated in operations

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

4742

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

17

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Business growth

Business travel

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

5361

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

49

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Business growth

Employee commuting

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

57845

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

15

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Business growth

Upstream leased assets

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ First year of reporting this category

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

N/A

Processing of sold products

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Change in product efficiency

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

21323

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

34

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Increase of EV market share

Use of sold products

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Change in product efficiency

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

890101

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

17

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Sales of ASUX products, primarily consisting of lightweight electronic items, have recorded a significant 61% year-over-year increase. Conversely, sales of SPS products, which are characterized by heavier components such as wiring harnesses and connectors, have registered a marginal decline.

End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Change in methodology

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

93404

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

999

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Updated the emission factors.

Investments

(7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Business growth

(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

675

(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

23

(7.11.1.5) Please explain

Business growth
[Fixed row]

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

730

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3401

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1869

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1413

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

652

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

113502

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11189

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

41

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Estonia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1739

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1739

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

120

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

874

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

874

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1529

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15229

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4039

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3750

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3750

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1216

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7259

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7259

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

26

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18384

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8579

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

674

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

674

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

136

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

407

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4014

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1517

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3914

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3914

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1847

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1669

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2196

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

102576

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

102576

Morocco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22077

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21954

North Macedonia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3342

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3342

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

268

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26922

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

307

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

146

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2662

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18851

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18851

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

313

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1879

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

43

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

81

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6119

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6119

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14397

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11056

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

155

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

501

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2352

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2352

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1048

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

939

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

Tunisia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1130

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1130

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

127

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1746

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

278

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

389

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4438

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

39

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9495

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48899

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48899
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Intercable

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

319

Row 2

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Connection System

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

8260

Row 3

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Winchester

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

628

Row 4

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Corporate

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1496

Row 5

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Electrical Distribution Systems

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

4245

Row 6

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Advanced Safety and User Experience

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1138

Row 7

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Hellermanntyton

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1719

Row 8

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Wind River

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

19

Row 9

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Gabocom

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

199
[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Warehouse*	*41*
Row 2	*Engineering & Technical centers*	*1159*
Row 3	*Customer Center*	*0*
Row 4	*Distribution*	*496*
Row 5	*Manufacturing*	*15302*
Row 7	*Offices*	*1023*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By activity

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Wind River

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1089

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1089

Row 2

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Advanced Safety and User Experience

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

65802

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

45498

Row 3

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Corporate

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20679

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10810

Row 4

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Winchester

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6242

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6242

Row 5

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Electrical Distribution Systems

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

121855

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

70303

Row 6

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Connection Sytem

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

146638

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

84977

Row 7

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Hellermanntyton

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

64649

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40000

Row 8

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Intercable

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4690

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1691

Row 9

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Gabocom

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3978

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3978
[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Engineering & Technical centers*	*34196*	*16615*
Row 2	*Warehouse*	*62*	*62*
Row 3	*Distribution*	*1116*	*1062*
Row 4	*Offices*	*7605*	*7467*
Row 5	*Manufacturing*	*392616*	*239373*
Row 6	*Customer center*	*27*	*9*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18022

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

435622

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

264587

(7.22.4) Please explain

Included Aptiv, Hellermantyton, Winchester, Wind River and Intercable

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Intercable

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Electronic components

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

442348986

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

319

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4690

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1691

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Winchester

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Automobiles

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

828433677

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

628

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6242

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6242

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Hellermanntyton

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Automobiles

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

348531984

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1719

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

64649

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Row 4

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Gabocom

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Automobiles

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ LEI number
☑ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.9) LEI number

529900RYZZ0Q935G0O77

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

341600746

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

199

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3978

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3978

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Wind River

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1089

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1089

(7.23.1.15) Comment

[Add row]

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1022601000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

919

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Building Heating

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG-accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 11

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1022601000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

13227

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity in manufacturing sites

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG-accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 12

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 15: Investments
☑ Category 2: Capital goods
☑ Category 6: Business travel
☑ Category 7: Employee commuting
☑ Category 8: Upstream leased assets
☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
☑ Category 10: Processing of sold products
☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 11: Use of sold products

☑ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1022601000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

606990

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronics

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG-accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 13

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

561428000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

735

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Building heating

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 14

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

561428000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

10582

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity in the manufacturing process of products

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 15

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply
☑ Category 15: Investments
☑ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

☑ Category 2: Capital goods
☑ Category 6: Business travel
☑ Category 7: Employee commuting
☑ Category 11: Use of sold products
☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
☑ Category 10: Processing of sold products
☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
☑ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

561428000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

485592

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 16

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1664233000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1470

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Building heating

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 17

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1664233000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

21164

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity in the manufacturing process of products

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG-accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 18

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 15: Investments
☑ Category 2: Capital goods
☑ Category 6: Business travel
☑ Category 7: Employee commuting
☑ Category 11: Use of sold products
☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
☑ Category 8: Upstream leased assets
☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
☑ Category 10: Processing of sold products
☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
☑ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1664233000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

971185

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 19

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1824641000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1654

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Building heating

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 20

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1824641000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

23809

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity in the manufacturing process of products

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which can are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 21

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ☑ Category 15: Investments
- ☑ Category 2: Capital goods
- ☑ Category 6: Business travel
- ☑ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ☑ Category 11: Use of sold products
- ☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☑ Category 8: Upstream leased assets
- ☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ☑ Category 10: Processing of sold products
- ☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
- ☑ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1824641000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1092583

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which can are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 22

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

481224000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

367

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Building heating

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which can are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 23

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

481224000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

5291

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity in the manufacturing process of products

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which can are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.

Row 24

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 15: Investments

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 11: Use of sold products

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

☑ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 10: Processing of sold products

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

481224000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

242796

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which can are published in Aptiv's 2024 Sustainability Report.
[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Our manufacturing sites produce diverse products for different vehicles (models) and customers, it requires a very detailed tracking process for each product, therefore high investment in measurement devices
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

Aptiv is committed to become a carbon-neutral company by 2040 and to manufacturing carbon-neutral products by 2039. In order to deliver on this commitment, in 2020/2021, we have initiated life cycle assessments (LCAs) following ISO14040/44 standard, on three of our products, one per business unit. In 2022 we begin to perform carbon footprint declarations for more specific products and we are in the process of measuring the energy consumption per product in our manufacturing process lines.
[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☑ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

80294

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

80294

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

344373

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

655669

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1000042

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

279

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

279

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

17067.24

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

17067.24

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

361719

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

735963

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1097683
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

80294

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

80294

(7.30.7.8) Comment

[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

17067.24

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

17067.24

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

17067.24

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

17067.24

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ Austria

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solid/liquid biomass, wind, hydro, other

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

25101

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Austria

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Brazil

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6138

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Brazil

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ China

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

150000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ China

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1994

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Zhongba Hydropower Station

Row 4

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Denmark

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Denmark

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 5

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Finland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

18

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Finland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 6

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

30193

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 7

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Large hydropower (>25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

11000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2006

(7.30.14.10) Comment

400 MW Vishnuprayag Hydro Power

Row 9

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

469

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 10

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ Italy

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

7120

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Iceland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 11

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Poland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

30447.86

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Poland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 12

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Portugal

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

16191

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Portugal

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 13

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Romania

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

7050

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Romania

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 14

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Singapore

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Unknown

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

8565

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Singapore

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 15

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Slovakia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4444

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Slovakia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 16

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

127

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1950

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 17

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ Turkey

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Sustainable biomass

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3500

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Turkey

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 18

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Unknown

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

22006

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 19

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Poland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Poland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 20

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Heat

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Sustainable biomass

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

279

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1954

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment.

Row 21

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ China

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

10000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:
☑ China

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment.
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25101

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25101.00

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25128

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25128.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

178836

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

12245

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

191081.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

141

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

141.00

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4.00

Estonia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2558

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2558.00

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

18

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

18.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13342

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

13342.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

41093

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

41093.00

Honduras

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13622

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

13622.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

39559

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

39559.00

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

23137

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2873

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

26010.00

Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

880

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

880.00

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

469

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

469.00

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11444

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11444.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8600

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

8600.00

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2701

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

287

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2988.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

242956

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

242956.00

Morocco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28906

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

163

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

29069.00

North Macedonia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4974

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4974.00

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

42937

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

42937.00

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

16191

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

850

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17041.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

43167

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

43167.00

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7076

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7076.00

Serbia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8285

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

8285.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

36905

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

36905.00

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4437

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4437.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2633

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2633.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5617

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

649

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6266.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

813

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

279

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1092.00

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

23

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

23.00

Tunisia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2653

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2653.00

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4164

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4164.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22203

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

22203.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

139467

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

43120

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

182587.00
[Fixed row]

(7.34) Does your organization measure the efficiency of any of its products or services?

(7.34.1) Measurement of product/service efficiency

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.34.2) Comment

Distribution systems, including hybrid high voltage systems, are integrated into one optimized vehicle electrical system that can utilize smaller cable and gauge sizes and ultra-thin wall insulation (which product line makes up approximately 44% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2022, and 42% for each of the years ended December 31, 2021, and 2020).
[Fixed row]

(7.34.1) Provide details of the metrics used to measure the efficiency of your organization's products or services.

Row 1

(7.34.1.1) Category of product or service

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**High Voltage Portfolio

(7.34.1.2) Product or service (optional)

Internal Battery Connections, 12V Battery Monitor, High Voltage Shielded Cable, High Power/Voltage Connectors, Charging Inlets & Cables, On-board Charger.

(7.34.1.3) % of revenue from this product or service in the reporting year

71

(7.34.1.4) Efficiency figure in the reporting year

80

(7.34.1.5) Metric numerator

Select from:

☑ %

(7.34.1.6) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**kW/Time

(7.34.1.7) Comment

Aptiv high-voltage products are being embedded into electric vehicles which are considered to emit less GHG emissions over their lifetime compared to vehicles powered by gas. According to the European Federation for Transport and Environment (TE), an electric vehicle emits 22% (worst case scenario) less CO2e over its lifetime than a petrol vehicle. Busbar can support up to 15 percent more power than a cable with the same cross-sectional area.
https://www.aptiv.com/en/insights/article/what-is-a-busbar
[Add row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000140945

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

282609

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

20051000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

33

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Change in renewable energy consumption

☑ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Renewable energy consumption increased from 10% to 33%, leading to lower market-based emissions. This increase is aligned with Aptiv's internal target to reduce scope 1 and 2 by 25% in 2025 and to meet our customers' targets related to climate-change.

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

1.33

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

282609

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ full time equivalent (FTE) employee

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

213076

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

26

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.45.9) Please explain

Renewable energy consumption increased from 10% to 33%, leading to lower market-based emissions. This increase is aligned with Aptiv's internal target to reduce scope 1 and 2 by 25% in 2025 and to meet our customers' targets related to climate-change.

Row 3

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

1.57

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

282609

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ metric ton of product

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

179529.32

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

10

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Change in renewable energy consumption

☑ Change in output

(7.45.9) Please explain

Renewable energy consumption increased from 10% to 33%, leading to lower market-based emissions. Decrese in product weight, primarly due to an increase in sales of lightweight electronic items, that recorded a significant 61% year-over-year increase.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Thousand of water liters per employee

(7.52.2) Metric value

12.29

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Water consumption

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

FTE number

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

3

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Improvement in water efficiency

Row 2

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:
☑ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

0.84

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Total waste sent out for recycling

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Total waste generated

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

0

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.52.7) Please explain

Target of achieving 80% recycled waste remained the same in 2023. Even though total waste generated increased, Aptiv was still able to exceed the 80% target of recycling, reaching 84%.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Absolute target

☑ Intensity target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

SBTi - Near-Term approval letter - Aptiv PLC.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

12/06/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2021

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

20388

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

344006

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

364394.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

95

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

100

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

18022

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

264587

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

282609.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

22.44

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

No exclusions and company-wide coverage.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100% by 2030 from a 2021 base year

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

The amount of CO2 that need to be reduced in scope 1 and 2 is 364,394 tCO2e by 2030. The actions to achieve this are: -Source 100% Renewable Energy through on-site generation, PPAs and RECs. In 2023 we powered our operations with 33% climate neutral energy and more sites operated with on-site renewable energy -Electrification of process that consume natural gas -Reduce electricity consumption at our 10 most energy-intensive sites, through certification and alignment with the ISO 50001 standard.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ Yes

Row 4

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ No, but we are reporting another target that is science-based

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

12/30/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Business activity

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

23991.4

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

351083.18

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

375074.580

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

95

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2025

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

25

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

281305.935

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

15138

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

211587

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

226725.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

158.21

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Aptiv PLC and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Aptiv Corporation, entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the "Administrative Agent"). The Applicable Rate under the Credit Agreement, as well as the facility fee, may increase or decrease from time to time based on changes in the Company's credit ratings and whether the Company achieves or fails to achieve certain sustainability-linked targets with respect to greenhouse gas emissions

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

GHG Emissions Intensity Reduction (Scope 1 and 2; % reduction from the GHG KPI Baseline 2019)

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.53.1.86) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Energy efficiency actions to reduce the consumption of natural gas, increased electrification of natural gas consumption. Increased in renewable procurement of electric power, increase of on-site renewable power generation and of energy efficiency projects. Increased in the number of sites that are ISO 50000 certified.

Row 5

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

SBTi - Near-Term approval letter - Aptiv PLC.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

12/06/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3, Category 14 – Franchises
☑ Scope 3, Category 15 – Investments
☑ Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods
☑ Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel
☑ Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting
☑ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations
☑ Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products
☑ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution
☑ Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution
☑ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)
☑ Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products
☑ Scope 3, Category 8 - Upstream leased assets
☑ Scope 3, Category 13 – Downstream leased assets
☑ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
☑ Scope 3, Category 10 – Processing of sold products

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2021

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4765232

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

392594

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

140485

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

393328

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

8157

(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7821

(7.53.1.20) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

366651

(7.53.1.21) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

105861

(7.53.1.23) Base year Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

6084926

(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3484388

(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7795

(7.53.1.26) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.27) Base year Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.28) Base year Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3161

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

15760399.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

15760399.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.41) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.42) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.44) Base year Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.47) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.48) Base year Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.49) Base year Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 15: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

47.4

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

8289969.874

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

6218895

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

378645

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

102460

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

373622

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

32885

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

16274

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

340082

(7.53.1.66) Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

39458

(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.68) Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

41063

(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4493470

(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

100647

(7.53.1.71) Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.72) Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.73) Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2309

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

12139810.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

12139810.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

48.47

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

No exclusions and company-wide coverage.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4% by 2030 from a 2021 base year.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Scope 3 expected reduction is 15,570,399 tCO2e by 2040. Planned actions: -Collect suppliers CO2 emissions and actions plans to reduce them through the platform Greenly -Source raw materials that have a high recycled and low carbon content in the supply chain. -Strategic sourcing of raw materials, including copper and resin, to mitigate long-term risks -Research and test for recycled materials like recycled copper in our wiring harness cables. In 2022 we completed the recycled copper quality testing, which validated performance levels meet or exceed required standards. -Reduce CO2 emissions in transportation of products, downstream and upstream by adopting biofuels and electrical modes of transportation. -Support our customers in the transition of electrical vehicles in the market. Aptiv, therefore, started working towards the realization of the above-mentioned actions in order to reach our goals in 2030.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Add row]

(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Int 1

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:
☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

12/30/2019

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply
☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
☑ Methane (CH4)
☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 1
☑ Scope 2

(7.53.2.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

☑ Metric tons CO2e per unit FTE employee

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

12/30/2019

(7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.15

(7.53.2.14) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

2.09

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

2.2400000000

(7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.35) % of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.55) End date of target

12/30/2023

(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

15

(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

1.9040000000

(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

-15

(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.09

(7.53.2.61) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

1.24

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

1.3300000000

(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year

270.83

(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 1 and Scope 2 for all global operations

(7.53.2.86) Target objective

GHG Emissions Intensity Reduction (Scope 1 and 2; % reduction from the GHG KPI Baseline)

(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:
☑ No

(7.53.2.89) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Renewable energy procurement, renewable energy on-site generation, ISO 50001 certification at our top 10 most energy-intensive sites

Row 2

(7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Int 2

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:
☑ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

12/30/2019

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.2.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

☑ Metric tons CO2e per unit FTE employee

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

12/30/2019

(7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.15

(7.53.2.14) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

2.09

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

2.2400000000

(7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.35) % of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.55) End date of target

12/30/2025

(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

25

(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

1.6800000000

(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

-25

(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.09

(7.53.2.61) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

1.24

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

1.3300000000

(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year

162.50

(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 1 and Scope 2 for all global operations

(7.53.2.86) Target objective

GHG Emissions Intensity Reduction (Scope 1 and 2; % reduction from the GHG KPI Baseline)

(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

(7.53.2.89) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Renewable energy procurement, renewable energy on-site generation, ISO 50001 certification at our top 10 most energy intensive sites
[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Net-zero targets

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

05/05/2021

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

☑ Int1

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/30/2040

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

☑ Scope 3

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Aptiv PLC commits to reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100% by 2030 from a 2021 base year. Aptiv PLC also commits to reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4% within the same timeframe.

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

Aptiv PLC commits to reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100% by 2030 from a 2021 base year. Aptiv PLC also commits to reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4% within the same timeframe.

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

☑ Unsure

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

☑ No, we do not plan to mitigate emissions beyond our value chain

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Assurance Limted Statement
[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	*1*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*1*	*45526.5*
Implementation commenced	*1*	*15491*
Implemented	*3*	*171034*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☑ Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

6350

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1709219

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

17560465

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

PV solar systems have a payback between 7- 12 years and an investment cost of

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

131274

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2134526

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Average costs of EACs of 8.74 per MWh

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption
☑ Wind

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

33410

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Mandatory

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

330680

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Average costs of EACs of 8.74 per MWh
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Partnering with governments on technology development

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Our history and culture of innovation have enabled us to develop significant intellectual property and design and development expertise to provide advanced technology solutions that meet the demands of our customers. We have a team of approximately 22,200 scientists, engineers and technicians focused on developing leading product solutions for our key markets, located at 11 major technical centers in China, Germany, India, Mexico, Poland, Singapore and the United States. Our total investment in research and development, including engineering, was approximately 1.8 billion, 1.5 billion and 1.4 billion for the years ended December 31, 2023, 2022, and 2021, respectively, which includes approximately 492 million, 379 million, and 320 million of co-investment by customers and government agencies.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Aptiv's portfolio has a significant number of products that provide low carbon solutions for our customer base. Driven by regulatory requirements, customer demands, consumer needs, and technical advancements, Aptiv has an annual dedicated R&D budget over 1.8B for the development of products including green products.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

2023 marked the 20th year of Aptiv's annual internal Sustainability Awards, and it was the largest one yet. More than 1,000 projects were submitted from around the globe, highlighting a wide variety of initiatives reflecting Aptiv's values, from process improvement projects to sustainable material sourcing initiatives to STEM events for children. The Sustainability Awards were launched in 2003 to harness our team's passion and innovation to meet our sustainability targets in four key areas: reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, reducing waste, ensuring responsible water consumption and creating a positive community impact. In the awards' first year, we received about 100 submissions, but the number has expanded rapidly as we have built a culture driven by sustainability and employees across the globe have sought out new, innovative ways to contribute to Aptiv's sustainability goals. Winners in 2023 included a wide range of process improvements and community engagement activities, including a rainwater collection system in our Cochin, India, facility that will save approximately 10,000 liters of water per year; a process improvement project in Nuremberg, Germany, that significantly reduced the amount of gold used in various terminal components; and a donation by Aptiv's Juarez, Mexico, technical center to provide unique STEM-focused educational opportunities to 65,000 students in Juarez public schools.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

We are on target to reduce our Scope 1 and 2 carbon emissions 25 percent by 2025, from a 2021 baseline, thanks to efforts to improve energy efficiency and increase sustainable energy sourcing at multiple facilities across the globe. We currently have solar panel projects at 14 sites worldwide, generating more than 17 million kWh of electricity and eliminating nearly 9,800 tons of CO2e emissions. Globally, Aptiv is powered by over 30 percent renewable energy sources.

Row 5

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

The Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) are two crucial regulatory frameworks emerging within the European Union that have significant implications for the automotive industry. These regulations, while distinct in their scope, are interrelated in their overarching goal of driving sustainability and carbon reduction, both within the EU and globally. The CSRD, which expands upon the previous Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD), requires companies, including those in the automotive sector, to disclose extensive sustainability-related information in their annual reports. This includes not only environmental impact but also social and governance (ESG) factors. For automotive companies, this means reporting on their carbon emissions across the entire value chain—from raw material sourcing, manufacturing processes, and logistics, to the use phase of vehicles and end-of-life recycling. Given the carbon-intensive nature of the automotive industry, particularly due to manufacturing and the reliance on fossil fuel-powered vehicles, the CSRD pushes companies to be transparent about their carbon footprint and their plans for decarbonization. It also incentivizes the shift toward electric vehicles (EVs) and sustainable practices in production, encouraging automakers to improve energy efficiency, invest in renewable energy, and adopt circular economy practices like recycling parts and materials. The CBAM is a carbon pricing mechanism designed to prevent carbon leakage by imposing carbon costs on imports of certain goods into the EU. While it initially focuses on energy-intensive industries such as steel, aluminum, and cement, which are crucial inputs for the automotive sector, it will likely impact the automotive industry as well. As automakers rely heavily on materials like steel and aluminum, which are subject to CBAM, any carbon costs embedded in these materials will increase the cost of producing vehicles, particularly those with a higher carbon footprint. The automotive industry, which is global in nature, must now account for the carbon emissions of its suppliers, especially those outside the EU. As CBAM evolves, it may extend to more sectors, potentially including automotive components directly. Companies that import high-carbon materials or vehicles into the EU will face increased costs unless

Row 7

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Internal incentives/recognition programs

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Aptiv's manufacturing sites are required to reduce their energy intensity by 1% annually, leading to an expected decrease in their overall carbon footprint. To encourage progress, local teams receive incentives upon achieving these targets.
[Add row]

(7.71) Does your organization assess the life cycle emissions of any of its products or services?

(7.71.1) Assessment of life cycle emissions

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.71.2) Comment

To better understand the environmental profile of our products, including their carbon footprint, we have performed formal Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs), following ISO14040/44 standard, on three of our products, one per business unit.
[Fixed row]

(7.71.1) Provide details of how your organization assesses the life cycle emissions of its products or services.

(7.71.1.1) Products/services assessed

Select from:
☑ All existing and new products/services

(7.71.1.2) Life cycle stage(s) most commonly covered

Select from:
☑ Cradle-to-grave

(7.71.1.3) Methodologies/standards/tools applied

Select all that apply

☑ ISO 14040 & 14044

(7.71.1.4) Comment

[Fixed row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☑ Product or service

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☑ No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Road

☑ Other, please specify **:**Electrical distribution systems for electrified vehicles

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

We design, develop, and deliver both connection systems and electrical distribution systems for electrified vehicles. Our Signal and Power Solutions products provide the critical signal distribution and computing power backbone that supports increased vehicle content and electrification, reduced emissions, and higher fuel economy.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☑ Estimating and Reporting the Comparative Emissions Impacts of Products (WRI)

(7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☑ Cradle-to-grave

(7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

The U.S. Department of Energy publishes national average annual emissions from operating an electric passenger vehicle versus a similar-sized internal combustion engine passenger vehicle. Aptiv used the pounds of CO2 emissions for each vehicle type from the report, converted to metric tons of CO2 emissions.

(7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

Average ICE vehicle

(7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

☑ Cradle-to-grave

(7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

72000000

(7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

Average ICE vehicle emits 5.2 Tons of CO2/Year vs 1.7-2.9 Tons of CO2/Year of EV. Aptiv produced the wiring harness of 24 millions sold vehicles equal to 72 million Tons of CO2 saved.

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

8.47
[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:
☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:
☑ Business activities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

At least 75 percent of Aptiv's total revenue.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:
☑ Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:
☑ Data collection is in progress

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☑ 21-30%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Aptiv's subsidiaries are excluded from this report
[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Invoice from the water utility company that provides the service or from water flow meters installed in wells.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Our manufacturing sites report monthly water withdrawals in our EHS platform and attach supporting evidence of these measurements

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Invoice from the water utility company that provides the service or from water flow meters installed in wells.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Our manufacturing sites report monthly water withdrawals in our EHS platform and attach supporting evidence of these measurements

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality testing with approved laboratories

(9.2.4) Please explain

Our water quality procedures establish that all water withdrawals must comply with local water quality regulations. The quality of 100% of water withdrawals is measured and monitored at least annually, and often more frequently, either by external suppliers or accredited laboratories

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes are measured and calculated through external sampling and lab testing in accordance with local regulations, or at least annually as per our internal procedure, Water & Wastewater Management - HOGP_5-3_SE_18_EN

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of our operational sites comply with this requirement according to our procedure Water & Wastewater Management - HOGP_5-3_SE_18_EN.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

All wastewater discharges, direct and indirect, (sanitary, process, and storm-water) must comply with all local, state or national regulations and/or permit requirements. Untreated sanitary wastewater, storm water runoff and untreated process wastewater shall be segregated unless commingling is compatible with local regulations and downstream treatment.

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We maintain a comprehensive database of all water treatment plants we operate, along with details on wastewater discharges (direct and indirect), including sanitary, process, and stormwater treatment methods. These methods encompass on-site treatment systems such as MBBR, SBR, sand filtration, UV disinfection, and activated sludge, as well as municipal wastewater treatment plants

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality testing, conducted with approved laboratories, is guided by our waste and wastewater procedure, which establishes the following thresholds: Oil and Grease (20 mg/L), pH (6-9), Fecal Coliform (1,000 cfu/100 mL), TSS (70 mg/L), BOD (50 mg/L), COD (800 mg/L), Total Phosphorus (10 mg/L), Ammonia Nitrogen (30 mg/L), Phenols (2 mg/L), Copper (1 mg/L), Cyanide (0.3 mg/L), Lead (0.3 mg/L), Mercury (0.005 mg/L), Nickel (1 mg/L), Chromium (1 mg/L), and Zinc (2 mg/L)

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes are measured and calculated through external sampling and lab testing in accordance with local regulations, or at least annually as per our internal procedure, Water & Wastewater Management - HOGP_5-3_SE_18_EN. Our internal wastewater parameter thresholds are Total Phosphorus (10 mg/L) and Ammonia Nitrogen (30 mg/L)

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

The discgarge temperature are measured and calculated by external sampling and lab testing according to the local regulation.

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We report monthly water consumption through a water balance system in our EHS platform

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Invoices and water meter records

(9.2.4) Please explain

We report monthly water reuse and recycling consumption in our EHS platform

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

ISO 14001:2015 Internal and External Audits

(9.2.4) Please explain

Each year, we conduct internal and external environmental audits to ensure compliance with all national regulations and Aptiv's internal procedures for water and wastewater management
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2653.12

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We are aiming to reduce 2% water consumption per employee by 2030.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1857.18

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We are aiming to reduce 2% water consumption per employee by 2030.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

795.94

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We are aiming to reduce 2% water consumption per employee by 2030.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

1401.41

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

52.82

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify **:**Maplecroft Verisk Index Tool

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

We have established a target to reduce water usage by 1% in water-scarce areas where Aptiv operates
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable, as we do not withdraw water from these sources

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable, as we do not withdraw water from these sources

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable, as we do not withdraw water from these sources

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable, as we do not withdraw water from these sources

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable, as we do not withdraw water from these sources

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2477.9

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

14% Increase in revenue year over year
[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1857.18

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

All wastewater discharges, both direct and indirect (including sanitary, process, and stormwater), must comply with local, state, or national regulations and/or permit requirements. Discharges must be connected to a municipal sewage treatment plant if available. In the absence of a municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), the site must segregate wastewater unless commingling is permitted by local regulations and downstream treatment processes. Processes that generate sanitary or process wastewater must be shut down if the wastewater cannot be effectively treated or contained, unless a bypass is authorized by the regulatory authority [Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not Applicable

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

873.24

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

25 sites of Aptiv operate secondary WWTP in compliance with all local, state or national regulations. The WWTP tecnologies that are installed and operated are the following:Activated Sludge Process (ASP), Roatating Biological Contactors, Submerged Fixed Bed Biofil Reactor, Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors and Sequencing Batch Reactor. WWTP are installed when one or more parameters (COD, BOD, phosphorus, nitrogen, fecal coliforms,etc) need to be reduced to comply local regulation or discharged to a surface water.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

5 sites of Aptiv operate primary WWTP (Physical Chemical Treatment) in compliance with all local, state or national regulations. WWTP are installed when one or more parameters (COD, BOD, phosphorus, nitrogen, fecal coliforms,etc) need to be reduced to comply local regulation or discharged to a surface water.

Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not Applicable

Discharge to a third party without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not Applicable

Other

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not Applicable
[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

74287.2

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply
☑ Nitrates
☑ Phosphates

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

Our target discharge values are 10 mg/L for phosphorus and 30 mg/L for nitrogen. These values are multiplied by our annual discharge effluent to calculate total annual discharge amounts
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

46

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:
☑ 26-50

(9.3.4) Please explain

We have identified 45 sites located in extreme high scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

We are still in the process of developing the capacity to assess water-related risks and opportunities within our supply chain
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Agoura Hills Engineering Center

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies
☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.14694

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-118.754417

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.28

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.28

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.28

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.19

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.08

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Changchun Mfg.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Amur

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

43.846792

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

125.418086

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

24.63

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

24.63

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

24.63

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.24

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.39

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

China Tech Center

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.323974

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.605949

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.73

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

5.73

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

5.73

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

4.01

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.72

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Centec III - DCS Mfg - Saltillo

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.578505

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.907054

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

4.23

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

4.23

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.96

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.27

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tianjin - MFG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.445904

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

117.025132

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

32

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

32.31

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

32.31

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

22.62

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

9.69

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Chennai - Eng 2

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

13.021165

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

80.17578

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.08

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.08

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.05

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.02

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Chennai EDS MFG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies
☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.894599

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

79.928785

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

34

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

33.84

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

33.84

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

23.68

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

10.15

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Yantai Mfg.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.5205

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.22057

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

30

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

30.02

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

30.02

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

21.02

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

9.01

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 11

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Dharuhera Mfg

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.217774

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

76.784027

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

20.58

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

20.58

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

14.4

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.17

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 11

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 12

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ES North Macedonia

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies
☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

North Macedonia

☑ Vardar

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.985659

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

21.622873

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

6.85

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

6.85

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

4.79

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.05

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 12

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 13

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Fresnillo 1 Plant 62

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ San Pedro

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.171251

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.882602

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

3.76

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

3.76

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.63

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.13

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 13

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 14

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Fresnillo 2 Plant 63

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ San Pedro

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.197

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.860516

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

12.13

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

12.13

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

8.49

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

3.64

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 14

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 15

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Frontera MFG EDS

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.950501

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-101.461002

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

24

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

24.44

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

24.44

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.11

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.33

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 15

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 17

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Guadalupe III Mfg.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.664902

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.176967

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

24.75

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

24.75

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.32

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.42

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 16

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 18

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Guadalupe, ZA - Plant 61

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ San Pedro

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

22.753551

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.505489

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

15.92

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

15.92

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

11.14

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.78

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 17

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 19

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Guamúchil, Sinaloa, Mexico

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Fuerte

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.468258

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-108.10717

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

24.58

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

24.58

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.2

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.37

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 18

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 20

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 32 (RBE VII)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.66056

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.340903

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

24.58

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

24.58

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.2

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.37

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 19

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 21

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 33 (RBE IV, RBE XIII)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.743232

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.427158

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

33

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

33.1

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

33.1

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

23.17

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

9.93

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 20

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 22

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 37 (RBE IX)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.708655

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.420495

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

24

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

24.03

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

24.03

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

16.82

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.21

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 21

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 23

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 38 (RBE V)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.724941

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.399413

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

51

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

51.2

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

51.2

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

35.84

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

15.36

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 22

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 24

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 39 (RBE I)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.713114

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.396699

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

37

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

36.97

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

36.97

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

25.88

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

11.09

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 23

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 25

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Los Mochis Plant 59

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Fuerte

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.77432

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-108.986624

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

21.37

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

21.37

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

14.96

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.41

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 24

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 26

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Matamoros Deltronicos Mfg.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.884883

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-97.551482

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

67

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

67.07

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

67.07

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

46.95

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

20.12

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 25

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 27

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Meoqui Plant 58

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Other, please specify :Conchos

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.277385

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-105.485128

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

32

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

32.41

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

32.41

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

22.69

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

9.72

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 26

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 28

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Mexico Tech Center

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.749692

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.43823

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

48

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

48.5

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

48.5

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

33.95

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

14.55

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 27

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 29

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 3

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco
☑ Other, please specify **:**Not Applicable

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.303977

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-6.390195

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

34

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

34.33

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

34.33

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

24.03

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

10.3

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 28

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 30

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 4 MFG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☑ Other, please specify **:**Not Applicable

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.828842

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-5.460782

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

26

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

25.64

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

25.64

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.95

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.69

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 29

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 31

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 5 MFG EDS

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☑ Other, please specify :Not Applicable

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.773877

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-1.929234

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11.14

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

11.14

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

7.8

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

3.34

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 30

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 32

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Parral I Plant 50

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Other, please specify :Conchos

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.925648

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-105.696422

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

18

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

17.73

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

17.73

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

12.41

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5.32

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 31

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 33

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Saltillo DCS Plant 97

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.474394

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.985068

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

10.63

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.63

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

7.44

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

3.19

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 32

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 34

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Saltillo Plant 98

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.496829

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.984537

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

9.22

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

9.22

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.45

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.77

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 33

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 35

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Singapore Manufacturing - Eng Center.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Singapore
☑ Other, please specify **:**Not Applicable

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

1.356904

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

103.855883

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

80

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

80.08

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

80.08

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

56.05

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

24.02

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 34

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 36

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tech Center India

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

☑ Other, please specify **:**Vrishabhavathi,

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.964618

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.718776

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

16.34

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

16.34

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

11.44

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.9

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 35

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 37

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tijuana (PIC 11)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.475012

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-116.9889

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

9.29

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

9.29

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.5

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.79

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 36

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 38

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Victoria 2 - MFG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico
☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.754671

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-99.157297

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

18.88

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

18.88

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

13.21

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5.66

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 37

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 39

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Victoria Mfg.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.725515

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-99.083284

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

3.02

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

3.02

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.12

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.91

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity

Row 38

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 40

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Zacatecas 2

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ San Pedro

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

22.764968

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.48526

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

14.2

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

14.2

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

9.94

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.26

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increase in business activity
[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification
[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:
☑ Yes, CDP supply chain members buy goods or services from facilities listed in 9.3.1

(9.4.1) Indicate which of the facilities referenced in 9.3.1 could impact a requesting CDP supply chain member.

Row 1

(9.4.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 1

(9.4.1.2) Facility name

Facilities located in northern Mexico

(9.4.1.3) Requesting member

Select from:

(9.4.1.4) Description of potential impact on member

Water shortages and periods of drought

(9.4.1.5) Comment

No Comment

Row 2

(9.4.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 2

(9.4.1.2) Facility name

Facilities located in northern Mexico

(9.4.1.3) Requesting member

Select from:

(9.4.1.4) Description of potential impact on member

Water shortages and periods of drought

(9.4.1.5) Comment

No Comment

Row 3

(9.4.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 3

(9.4.1.2) Facility name

Facilities located in northern Mexico

(9.4.1.3) Requesting member

Select from:

(9.4.1.4) Description of potential impact on member

Water shortages and periods of drought

(9.4.1.5) Comment

No Comments
[Add row]

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	20051000000	*7557517.19*	*Reduction in usage rate due to the implementation of more efficient water technologies*

[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

Target 80% compliance with best practices for water management in low-risk areas

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

86

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:
☑ Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

Best mangement practices implementes

(9.12.5) Comment

N/A

Row 2

(9.12.1) Product name

Water consumption intensity (thousands of liters per employee)

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

12.29

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:
☑ Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

FTE (Worked Hours / 2000)

(9.12.5) Comment

N/A
[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

(9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ No

(9.13.2) Comment

Aptiv products are in compliance with global regulations and customer requirements that impact Aptiv final products. Aptiv comply with the following international regulatons: -Material Data Reporting (FMD, BOM Check, IMDS) -EU Legislations (ELV, REACH, RoHS)-US EPA-California Prop 65-Original Equipment Manufacturers Requirements-China REACH-Japan REACH-Aptiv 10949001 Specifications. -C2P
[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Definition used to classify low water impact	Please explain
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Aptiv's operations are not water intensive*	*Due to nature of our activities, waterconsumption is not significant for Aptiv'soperations.*

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category
Water pollution	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water withdrawals	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Other	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Target 2

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Product water intensity

☑ Other product water intensity, please specify :Water consumption intensity (thousands of liters per employee)

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

01/01/2020

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

14.8

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2025

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

14.5

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

12.3

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

833

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Gobal operations, 100% of revenue

(9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Implementation of best water management practices as recommended by the EPA, including recycling municipal reclaimed water, utilizing on-site wastewater treatment facilities, and harvesting rainwater

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

High risk areas. 1% reduction (m3/emp Low risk areas. 80% compliance to BMP
[Add row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

(10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic polymers

☑ Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic polymers produced and/or sold

Plastic packaging

☑ Reduce the total weight of plastic packaging used and/or produced

☑ Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic packaging

End-of-life management

☑ Increase the proportion of recyclable plastic waste that we collect, sort, and recycle

(10.1.3) Please explain

n our operations, we have set a target to recycle 80% of total waste. Additionally, as part of our Scope 3 commitments, we will increase the use of recycled and low-carbon plastics, including bio-based solutions
[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

We manufacture connectors for the automotive industry, primarily made from various types of plastics.

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Some of our products are packaged in plastic as part of our customer requirements.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not applicable
[Fixed row]

(10.4) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods and durable components produced, sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content.

Durable goods and durable components sold

(10.4.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

61821

(10.4.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

☑ % virgin fossil-based content

(10.4.3) % virgin fossil-based content

95

(10.4.7) Please explain

We manufacture connectors for the automotive industry, primarily made from various types of plastics.
[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

1000

(10.5.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

☑ % virgin fossil-based content

(10.5.3) % virgin fossil-based content

0

(10.5.7) Please explain

Some of our products are packaged in plastic as part of our customer requirements.
[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1.1) Percentages available to report for circularity potential

Select all that apply
☑ % technically recyclable

(10.5.1.3) % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable

15

(10.5.1.5) Please explain

Some plastics can be recycled as long as they continue to perform safely for vehicle performance. In certain instances, plastics like PVC can be replaced by bio-based materials, provided they are available in the necessary volumes. Additionally, some plastics like PBT can be recycled from pre-consumer processes, and in some cases, PBT can be replaced by polypropylene, which has a lower carbon footprint
[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	*Select from:* ☑ No	*No comment.*
UNESCO World Heritage sites	*Select from:* ☑ No	*No comment.*
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	*Select from:* ☑ No	*No comment.*
Ramsar sites	*Select from:* ☑ No	*No comment.*
Key Biodiversity Areas	*Select from:* ☑ No	*No comment.*
Other areas important for biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No	*No comment.*

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☑ Year on year change in emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2)

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☑ ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

EY was engaged to verify FTE intensity metric, reported in question 7.53.2. It's a legal binding requirement with JP Morgan. This is verified annually.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

24-aptiv-limited-assurance-statement.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information
	No additional information.

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Director of Sustainability

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:
☑ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:
☑ Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute